



EQUITY RESEARCH

July 2, 2020

Industry Update

Canadian REITs Monthly

Fears Of A Second Wave Hamper The Recovery

Our Conclusion

After a significant mini-rally through the beginning of June, the S&P/TSX Canadian REIT index gave back the majority of its gains, and essentially finished flat for the month. YTD, the real estate complex continues to lag the broader Canadian market (with REITs delivering a -25% unweighted total return vs. the S&P/TSX at -10%). We continue to expect volatility to remain elevated over the immediate near term. Looking out further, we would suggest that the risk/reward setup remains compelling for those investors that can afford to take a longer-term view; the majority of our coverage offers above-average and sustainable yields, while valuation levels generally have ample room for significant expansion.

Key Points

As governments across the country continue to ease lockdown measures, investor focus appears to have shifted to the possibility, and potential impact, of a material second wave. Note that a second wave, should one occur, will not necessarily result in the re-imposition of the strictest lockdown measures. Indeed, we would suggest that this is an unlikely scenario. As such, the retail recovery is well positioned to continue. Further, we recently published a [deep dive](#) on the current retail REIT opportunity, and conclude that valuations are reflecting a worse outcome than that which is likely to prevail.

We continue to believe that the hotel, seniors, and diversified sectors have among the highest price sensitivity to the COVID-19 pandemic, implying higher upside in an optimistic recovery scenario. Investors continue to ponder the potential impact of increasing WFH adoption in the office sector, a theme that we explored in significant detail in a recent [report](#) (we think change will stretch out over time and, looking to the end of the current development cycle, with an increase in WFH adoption, it is reasonable to assume that office vacancy rates could at the very least rise moderately from current levels). Lastly, the “beds and sheds” theme has continued to outperform. These sub-sectors continue to offer the most promising fundamental outlooks, but given their relative performance, we would classify them as the “safety trade” (with less torque to a broader REIT recovery).

At this time, we continue to favour those REITs that carry relatively lower valuation risk, above-average yield, and strong balance sheets, including BPY, REI, SRU, APR, KMP, GRT, WIR, AP, and HOM. In addition to our fundamental views noted above, we recently teamed up with our strategy group to explore how quantitatively based approaches might drive outperformance in the real estate space. Our [findings](#) suggest that NAV, FFO, and Dividend growth are powerful metrics. In addition, the sector is very sensitive to Momentum (i.e., more so than other GICs sectors). Names that are attractive under our proposed multi-factor REIT model currently include IIP.UN, CAR.UN, GRT.UN, KMP.UN, CRT.UN, AP.UN, and SMU.UN. Of interest, the majority of these names fall within the “beds and sheds” theme.

All figures in Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated.

Dean Wilkinson, CFA
+1 416-594-7194
Dean.Wilkinson@cibc.com

Sumayya Syed, CFA
+1 416-594-7136
Sumayya.Syed@cibc.com

Seth Rubin
+1 416-956-3548
Seth.Rubin@cibc.com

Chris Couprie, CFA
+1 416-594-8179
Chris.Couprie@cibc.com

Ioan Ilea
+1 416-956-6924
Ioan.Ilea@cibc.com

Zan Zhang, CFA
+1 416-594-7399
Zanz.Zhang@cibc.com

Sector:
Real Estate

Contents

CIBC Canadian REIT Cheat Sheet3

Company News4

June Performance7

Key Investment Themes11

Property Fundamentals33

Summary Of Current Ratings41



CIBC Canadian REIT Cheat Sheet

CIBC CANADIAN REIT CHEAT SHEET	General				Valuation						Debt and Liquidity Metrics (As At Q1/20)						Distribution Sustainability		
	Current Price	2020 Peak To Current	Quartile (1st = largest reversion potential)	Economic Risk	Current NAV	Current P/NAV	2010-2019 NAV Trough	Variance to 2010-2019 NAV Trough	GFC NAV Trough	Variance to GFC NAV Trough	D/GBV	Interest Coverage	Liquidity/ 2020 Debt	Quartile (1st = lowest debt cover)	Months Revenue Cover	Quartile (1st = lowest mth rev cover)	Current Yield	2020 AFFO Payout	Quartile (1st = lowest payout)
Shopping Centre																			
RioCan	\$14.91	(46%)	1st	Average	\$24.50	(39%)	(11%)	28%	(40%)	(1%)	43%	3.5x	1.4x	3rd	11.1x	3rd	9.7%	92%	3rd
SmartCentres	\$20.40	(37%)	2nd	Average	\$30.00	(32%)	(17%)	15%	(55%)	(23%)	43%	3.5x	1.3x	3rd	7.1x	2nd	9.1%	87%	3rd
First Capital	\$13.66	(38%)	2nd	Average	\$20.00	(32%)	(11%)	21%	(36%)	(4%)	47%	2.3x	3.6x	4th	15.5x	4th	6.3%	89%	3rd
Crombie	\$12.72	(23%)	3rd	Below Average	\$16.00	(21%)	(16%)	5%	(44%)	(24%)	50%	3.2x	5.1x	4th	13.2x	4th	7.0%	82%	2nd
Choice Properties	\$12.63	(17%)	4th	Below Average	\$14.25	(11%)	(6%)	6%	NA	NA	44%	3.6x	5.2x	4th	12.6x	4th	5.9%	95%	4th
CT REIT	\$13.56	(21%)	4th	Below Average	\$15.50	(13%)	(6%)	6%	NA	NA	43%	3.4x	1.3x	2nd	7.5x	3rd	5.8%	79%	2nd
Diversified																			
BPY (USD)*	\$9.93	(50%)	1st	Average	\$26.00	(62%)	(48%)	14%	NA	NA	55%	NA	2.3x	3rd	12.4x	4th	13.4%	156%	4th
H&R	\$9.75	(55%)	1st	Average	\$20.00	(51%)	(28%)	23%	(79%)	(28%)	51%	3.3x	0.8x	2nd	1.4x	1st	7.1%	50%	1st
Cominar	\$7.94	(48%)	1st	Average	\$15.00	(47%)	(26%)	21%	(38%)	9%	51%	2.4x	1.1x	2nd	9.7x	3rd	9.1%	99%	4th
Artis	\$7.42	(46%)	1st	Average	\$12.00	(38%)	(33%)	6%	(71%)	(33%)	53%	3.1x	0.5x	1st	5.1x	2nd	7.3%	57%	1st
Morguard REIT	\$5.02	(60%)	1st	Average	\$11.00	(54%)	(44%)	11%	NA	NA	48%	2.3x	0.5x	1st	3.9x	1st	9.6%	51%	1st
Melcor	\$3.51	(58%)	1st	Average	\$6.25	(44%)	(28%)	15%	NA	NA	50%	2.4x	0.3x	1st	2.0x	1st	10.3%	61%	1st
Office																			
Allied Properties	\$40.00	(33%)	2nd	Average	\$48.00	(17%)	(13%)	4%	(32%)	(15%)	27%	3.3x	>10.0x	4th	8.0x	3rd	4.1%	83%	2nd
Dream Office	\$20.15	(44%)	2nd	Average	\$28.75	(30%)	(49%)	(19%)	(64%)	(34%)	38%	3.0x	>10.0x	4th	11.6x	4th	5.0%	86%	3rd
Slate Office	\$3.66	(38%)	2nd	Average	\$6.75	(46%)	(33%)	13%	NA	NA	58%	2.2x	0.2x	1st	2.4x	1st	10.9%	70%	2nd
True North Commercial	\$5.50	(32%)	2nd	Average	\$6.25	(12%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	58%	3.0x	1.7x	3rd	3.4x	1st	10.7%	107%	4th
International Office																			
NorthWest Healthcare	\$10.65	(20%)	4th	Below Average	\$11.25	(5%)	(23%)	(18%)	NA	NA	45%	2.9x	0.8x	2nd	0.7x	1st	7.5%	105%	4th
Industrial																			
Granite	\$68.67	(8%)	4th	Average	\$68.00	1%	(24%)	(25%)	NA	NA	22%	10.4x	>10.0x	4th	27.7x	4th	4.2%	81%	2nd
Dream Industrial	\$10.39	(27%)	3rd	Average	\$11.50	(10%)	(40%)	(31%)	NA	NA	28%	3.9x	6.4x	4th	10.9x	3rd	6.7%	111%	4th
WPT Industrial (USD)	\$13.25	(12%)	4th	Average	\$13.75	(4%)	(25%)	(22%)	NA	NA	52%	2.9x	5.1x	4th	11.5x	3rd	5.7%	101%	4th
Summit Industrial Income	\$11.02	(22%)	4th	Average	\$11.25	(2%)	(14%)	(12%)	NA	NA	47%	2.8x	4.0x	4th	3.6x	1st	4.9%	95%	3rd
Small Cap Retail																			
Plaza Retail	\$3.22	(32%)	3rd	Average	\$4.50	(28%)	(16%)	12%	NA	NA	53%	2.3x	0.5x	1st	3.5x	1st	8.7%	97%	4th
Slate Retail (USD)	\$7.27	(29%)	3rd	Below Average	\$10.00	(27%)	(29%)	(1%)	NA	NA	59%	2.4x	>10.0x	4th	20.3x	4th	11.9%	107%	4th
Automotive Properties	\$8.94	(30%)	3rd	Below Average	\$10.75	(17%)	(10%)	7%	NA	NA	45%	3.5x	3.6x	3rd	14.0x	4th	9.0%	99%	4th
Domestic Residential																			
CAP REIT	\$48.19	(21%)	4th	Below Average	\$50.00	(4%)	(19%)	(15%)	(30%)	(27%)	36%	3.9x	0.7x	2nd	4.0x	2nd	2.9%	73%	2nd
Boardwalk	\$28.66	(45%)	2nd	Below Average	\$44.00	(35%)	(35%)	(0%)	(47%)	(13%)	46%	2.8x	0.9x	2nd	7.9x	3rd	3.5%	51%	1st
Northview Apartment	\$34.90	(5%)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Killam Apartment	\$17.12	(27%)	3rd	Below Average	\$20.00	(14%)	(22%)	(8%)	(44%)	(30%)	44%	3.3x	0.4x	1st	4.1x	2nd	4.0%	86%	3rd
InterRent	\$14.23	(24%)	3rd	Below Average	\$14.00	2%	(33%)	(35%)	(35%)	(37%)	33%	3.2x	0.7x	1st	8.6x	3rd	2.2%	67%	1st
Minto Apartment	\$19.81	(29%)	3rd	Below Average	\$22.00	(10%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	43%	1.9x	1.6x	3rd	18.7x	4th	2.2%	57%	1st
International Residential																			
European Residential	\$4.18	(22%)	4th	Below Average	€ 3.00	(10%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	45%	3.7x	>10.0x	4th	15.4x	4th	2.5%	88%	3rd
Tricon (USD)	\$8.88	(26%)	3rd	Below Average	\$10.00	(11%)	(38%)	(27%)	NA	NA	62%	NA	0.4x	1st	5.8x	2nd	3.2%	70%	2nd
Morguard NA Residential	\$14.37	(31%)	3rd	Below Average	\$23.00	(38%)	(34%)	3%	NA	NA	44%	2.4x	4.6x	4th	5.9x	2nd	4.9%	76%	2nd
BSR REIT (USD)	\$10.59	(19%)	4th	Below Average	\$12.00	(12%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	49%	NA	1.9x	3rd	7.1x	3rd	4.7%	88%	3rd
Seniors																			
Chartwell	\$9.09	(37%)	2nd	Average	\$12.50	(27%)	(20%)	8%	(33%)	(6%)	54%	3.2x	4.7x	4th	4.1x	2nd	6.7%	78%	2nd
Extendicare	\$5.50	(37%)	2nd	Below Average	\$9.00	(39%)	(34%)	5%	(53%)	(15%)	33%	3.1x	1.4x	3rd	2.0x	1st	8.7%	94%	3rd
Sienna Senior Living	\$9.25	(53%)	1st	Below Average	\$16.50	(44%)	(16%)	28%	NA	NA	47%	4.2x	>10.0x	4th	4.0x	2nd	10.1%	70%	1st
Invesque Inc. (USD)	\$2.53	(64%)	1st	Below Average	\$5.50	(54%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	58%	1.8x	0.9x	2nd	2.0x	1st	0.0%	0%	1st
Hotel																			
American Hotel (USD)	\$1.91	(66%)	1st	Above Average	\$3.75	(49%)	(38%)	11%	NA	NA	59%	1.6x	>10.0x	4th	5.6x	2nd	0.0%	0%	1st

* BPY AFFO Payout Ratio does not include realized gains. Including such gains, payout would be significantly lower.

Source: Company reports, Bloomberg, Factset, and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Company News

American Hotel Income Properties REIT (Operational Update): On June 15, AHIP provided a number of business updates, including: 1) occupancy rates continue to improve, with nine consecutive weeks of growth and total portfolio occupancy of approximately 48% in the past week (as at time of press release); 2) given the current pace of occupancy growth, AHIP is approaching breakeven cash flow and currently anticipates generating positive cash flow by the end of Q3/20; 3) successfully restructured payments on approximately 70% of its CMBS loans; 4) achieved waiver of financial covenants through Q1/21 on its credit facility; and 5) all hotels now open and generating revenues.

Artis REIT (COVID-19 Update): On June 25, Artis provided a COVID-19 update. The REIT has collected 98.0%, 94.6%, and 93.4% of rent (excluding deferred rent) in April, May, and June, respectively. Including deferred rent, the figures are 92.3%, 89.7%, and 91.5%, respectively. The REIT's occupancy at May 31, 2020 was 91.0% (92.9% including commitments) compared to 90.7% (92.7% including commitments) at March 31, 2020.

Automotive Properties REIT (Business Update): On June 17, APR announced that all of its tenants are current with their rents under either their leases or rent deferral agreements, and that ~78% of base rent has been collected to date for Q2/20 (remainder is subject to deferral agreements). Given visibility on collections through the pandemic, the REIT does not anticipate any changes to its distribution policy.

BSR REIT (Acquisition In Austin Market): On June 10, BSR announced that it had acquired a 303-suite garden-style apartment community in Georgetown, Texas for \$51.6MM. The transaction was funded with \$26.5MM in mortgage debt, with the REIT's credit facility used for the balance. The addition of the property is expected to be immediately accretive to the REIT's AFFO on a per unit basis (as per management).

CAPREIT (S&P/TSX 60 Inclusion And Advancement Of Operating Lease Buyout Strategy): On June 15, CAPREIT announced that it will be included in the S&P/TSX 60 Composite Index effective June 22, 2020. On June 5, CAPREIT announced that it has agreed to prepay the buyout of eight operating leases for properties in Toronto. The aggregate purchase price for these lease buyouts is approximately \$123MM (or \$154MM including the three previously announced buyouts). CAPREIT estimates that the 11 operating lease buyouts (in total) will result in a FV increase in excess of approximately \$300MM, which, after deducting the cost of prepaying the operating lease buyouts, represents a net FV gain of over \$150MM, of which \$130MM has been recognized.

Chartwell Retirement Residences (Business Update): On June 9, CSH announced that 40 retirement residences and 17 long-term care homes (both owned and managed) that had previously experienced at least one confirmed positive COVID-19 case have no current cases. As of June 9, 2020, nine retirement residences and one long-term care home have positive cases. CSH also disclosed that same-property occupancy at the end of May was 84.5%, compared to 85.7% at the end of April and that the slower rate of decline was attributed to higher move-in activity and lower move-out activity, largely due to reduced departures to long-term care. On June 3, CSH secured a \$45.8MM mortgage for three years on its Mississauga corporate office. At June 9, total liquidity for CSH totaled \$399.4MM and the company has over \$950MM of unencumbered assets.

Dream Industrial REIT (Business Update): On June 24, Dream Industrial announced it has leased 1.1MM sf since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic at an average spread of 4% compared to prior rental rates and that portfolio committed occupancy is at 95%. The REIT has collected over 95% of rent for April and May, adjusted for rent deferrals, and month-to-date, the REIT has collected ~90% of rent for June adjusted for rent deferrals. DIR has agreed to rent deferral arrangements with 75 tenants, totaling ~3.5% of gross rent for Q2/20 with collection expected by year-end 2020. The REIT is reviewing requests from ~100

tenants for the Canada Emergency Commercial Rent Assistance program, totaling less than 0.5% of DIR's annualized gross rent.

Dream Office REIT (Business Update): On June 8, Dream Office announced that as of June 5, it had collected ~91% of May rents with an additional 1% of rents that will be received in June due to timing of payment programs, and that thus far the REIT had agreed to rent deferrals totaling ~1.4% of May gross rents. The REIT also plans to defer ~\$10MM of capex originally planned for 2020 and announced that construction has resumed for its Dream Collection project in downtown Toronto.

Granite REIT (Acquisitions): On June 9, Granite announced it has agreed to purchase eight properties in the U.S. (Ohio, Indiana, Tennessee, and Mississippi) totaling ~4.0MM sf for ~\$332MM at a weighted average cap rate of ~5.5%. Closing is expected for Q2/20. Following these acquisitions, net proceeds from its latest equity offering, and green bond offering, the REIT estimates pro forma liquidity is ~\$1.1 billion.

H&R REIT (\$400MM Unsecured Debenture Offering): On June 15, H&R announced that it had completed a \$400MM offering (4.071% Series Q senior unsecured debentures due June 16, 2025). The net proceeds will be used to repay outstanding indebtedness and for general trust purposes.

InterRent REIT (\$230MM Bought Deal Financing): On June 4, InterRent announced that it had completed a 15.7MM unit offering (including over-allotment) at a price of \$14.65/unit for gross proceeds of \$230.1MM. The net proceeds were earmarked for debt repayment and for working capital purposes, creating additional liquidity to fund future potential acquisitions. Management estimated that post the completion of the offering, and prior to any future acquisitions, InterRent's debt to GBV ratio would be approximately 26.8% (should be even lower, all-else-equal, as over-allotment option was exercised).

Invesque Inc. (Rent Collections Update): On June 8, the company announced that it has collected 94% of rental revenues for the month of May, compared to ~90% for April and that the company has granted one NNN operator a rent deferral of 25% for the month of May. The company is also in discussions with another NNN operator for a rent deferral.

Melcor REIT (Business Update): On June 15, Melcor provided an update on rent collection, with 83% of April rent collected, 75% of May rent, and 70% of June rent. In addition, the REIT noted that it is applying for the CECRA program on behalf of tenants, but no applications have been processed to date.

Sienna Senior Living (Business Update And Executive Appointments): On June 10, Sienna announced the appointment of Joseph Mapa, former CEO of Sinai Health System, as executive director to the board of directors. Mr. Mapa will help with ongoing initiatives including: 1) the company-wide review by former Deputy Attorney General of Ontario Paul Boniferro into SIA's policies, practices, and culture; 2) frontline staff reeducation on quality of care and safety as well as PPE focused training; 3) sensitivity and behaviour training; 4) increasing communications with residents and their families; and 5) recruiting and staff retention. On June 12, SIA announced that Lois Cormack was resigning as President and CEO for personal reasons and that CFO Nitin Jain was appointed as President and CEO, effective immediately. On June 25, SIA announced additional appointments to its executive team including Stephen Foster as Executive VP of Long-Term Care, Karen Hon as CFO and Senior VP, and David Hung as Senior VP of Corporate Services. SIA also provided an outbreak status update, that as of June 24, 43 LTC homes and all 35 retirement homes do not have any active resident cases. Of the nine residences with COVID-19, four residences do not have any active resident cases and three residences have less than five active resident cases, and 18 long-term care homes and six retirement residences have been cleared of outbreak status.

Slate Office REIT (New CEO Appointment): On June 3, Slate Office announced that COO Steve Hodgson was appointed as CEO effective June 3, and that outgoing CEO Scott Antoniak will stay within Slate Asset Management to focus on the origination of private market opportunities.

Slate Retail REIT (Business Update): On June 25, SRT announced that as of June 24, the REIT has collected 90% of June rents in cash and expects to collect the majority of the remaining 10% in cash or through deferrals. 90% of SRT's tenants are currently operating and all of its grocery-anchored centers remain open.

SmartCentres REIT (\$600MM Unsecured Debenture Offering): On June 11, SmartCentres closed its private placements of Series V and W senior unsecured debentures (at \$300MM each). The Series V debentures carry a coupon of 3.192% and will mature on June 11, 2027 and the Series W debentures carry a coupon of 3.648% and will mature on December 11, 2030. The net proceeds will be used to repay existing indebtedness and for general trust purposes.

Summit Industrial (Business Update And Financing): On June 30, month-to-date, SMU has collected 90.7% of June rents and including arrangements and deferrals, collections were 98.4%. Portfolio occupancy ticked up to 98.7% compared to 98.4% at the end of March and only ~1.65% of total leases expiries are scheduled for the rest of 2020. SMU also up-financed a mortgage on a recent GTA acquisition by \$20MM with a blended average interest rate of ~3.45%.

Tricon (COVID-19 Update): On June 2, Tricon provided a COVID-19 update. As of May 31, 2020, same home occupancy in the SFR business was 97.6%. Average blended rent growth was 5.1%, driven by 8.9% growth on new move-ins and 3.5% growth on renewals. 96.1% of May rents were collected by month-end. 98.7% of April rents have now been collected. <1% of SFR residents have requested a rent deferral plan. In the U.S. multi-family rental business, occupancy was 93.4% as of May 31, 2020. Average rents for leases signed in May decreased by 1.0% from the previous lease, comprised of a 2.6% decrease on new move-ins and a 0.1% decrease on renewals (overall positive trend from April). 95.4% of May rents were collected by month-end. 98.4% of April rents have now been collected. 1.6% of Tricon's multi-family rental residents have been approved for a rent deferral plan in the month of May.

True North Commercial (Business Update): On June 25, TNT announced that it has received ~98% of contractual rents for May and June. Portfolio occupancy is ~97% and has an average remaining lease term of 5.2 years. The REIT's debt has a weighted average maturity of 4.47 years and 5.5% of total debt is not scheduled to mature until the end of 2021.

WPT Industrial REIT (Business Update): On June 16, WPT announced it has received over 99% of contractual rents for April and May, and over 98% for June. The REIT has received requests for short-term rent deferrals from tenants totaling ~12% of annualized gross rents; however, the REIT has not agreed to any deferrals thus far. WPT also extended a ~741k sf lease with its tenth largest tenant at 3003 Reeves Road in Plainfield, IN for an additional 66 months that includes three months of free rent, and a cash re-leasing spread of ~12%.

June Performance

In the month of June (to June 28), Canadian REITs were up 3.4% on an unweighted basis, while the broader S&P/TSX Composite Index increased by 0.2% over the same period. The “catch up” trade prevailed during the month, with the seniors (+11%) and hotel (+6%) segments outperforming. However, all segments delivered positive returns. YTD (through June 28), the apartment and industrial asset classes have proven to be the most resilient, while hotel, diversified, and seniors REITs have seen the largest declines.

YTD, Canadian REITs have delivered -25.1% on a total return, unweighted basis (-22.7% for the large-cap-weighted S&P/TSX Canadian REIT Index) compared to the S&P/TSX Composite Index's total return of -9.5%.

The top-performing REITs/REOCs in June were Invesque (+33%) and Chartwell (+12%), while Choice Properties (-4%) and BPY (-7%) trailed. YTD, the top-performing REITs/REOCs are Northview (+19% on a privatization announcement) and Granite (+5%), while American Hotel (-60%) and Invesque (-59%) have lagged.


Relative to its allocation in the broader Index, the Real Estate GICS sector (including real estate services) has underperformed this year, a stark reversal of trends (read momentum) experienced over the past few years.

Exhibit 1: S&P/TSX Industry Sector Attribution (As At June 28)

GICS Sector	Index Weight	Total Return	Contribution
Communication Services	5.8%	-10.1%	-0.6%
Consumer Discretionary	3.7%	-13.8%	-0.6%
Consumer Staples	4.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Energy	14.3%	-32.2%	-5.5%
Financials	30.8%	-17.7%	-6.2%
Health Care	1.1%	-31.6%	-0.4%
Industrials	11.6%	-5.8%	-0.6%
Information Technology	7.5%	58.1%	3.7%
Materials	12.6%	11.8%	2.0%
Real Estate	3.4%	-21.1%	-1.0%
Utilities	5.2%	-4.7%	-0.4%
SPTSX Composite	100.0%	-9.5%	-9.5%

Source: Bloomberg.

Exhibit 2: 2020 YTD* Total Returns By Property Type



*As at June 28, 2020

Source: FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.


Exhibit 3: Real Estate Equities' Average Annual Rates Of Return Versus S&P/TSX Indices

	S&P/TSX Composite	S&P/TSX Financial Index	Real Estate Stocks Unweighted	REITs Unweighted	S&P/TSX REIT Index	Bloomberg U.S. REIT Index	UBS Bloomberg CMCI Composite USD Total Return Index
2001	-13%	NA	21%	22%	30%	14%	-15%
2002	-12%	-3%	5%	9%	7%	4%	21%
2003	27%	28%	32%	25%	26%	36%	28%
2004	15%	19%	17%	15%	14%	32%	28%
2005	24%	24%	20%	19%	25%	12%	38%
2006	17%	18%	34%	28%	25%	36%	20%
2007	10%	-1%	-11%	1%	-6%	-17%	23%
2008	-33%	-36%	-51%	-34%	-38%	-38%	-33%
2009	35%	46%	79%	59%	55%	30%	33%
2010	18%	9%	47%	25%	23%	29%	18%
2011	-9%	-4%	21%	13%	22%	8%	-7%
2012	7%	17%	22%	18%	17%	19%	3%
2013	13%	27%	2%	-4%	-6%	2%	-7%
2014	11%	13%	-3%	11%	10%	29%	-19%
2015	-8%	-3%	-10%	9%	-5%	3%	-24%
2016	21%	24%	11%	19%	18%	9%	17%
2017	9%	13%	14%	12%	10%	9%	8%
2018	-9%	-7%	-9%	1%	6%	-5%	-10%
2019	23%	24%	34%	26%	24%	27%	11%
20 Years (2000-2019)	8%	12%	14%	14%	14%	13%	7%
15 Years (2005-2019)	9%	11%	13%	14%	12%	10%	5%
10 Years (2010-2019)	8%	11%	13%	13%	12%	13%	-1%
20-Jan	2%	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	-7%
20-Feb	-6%	-5%	4%	-4%	-3%	-7%	-5%
20-Mar	-17%	-18%	-32%	-32%	-27%	-19%	-15%
20-Apr	11%	2%	7%	11%	6%	9%	-2%
20-May	3%	1%	(11%)	(3%)	(2%)	2%	8%
20-June	0%	2%	2%	3%	1%	(1%)	3%
2020 YTD*	(10%)	(17%)	(32%)	(25%)	(23%)	(16%)	(18%)

Note: Total returns assume distributions/dividends are reinvested in the index. 10-, 15- and 20-year returns are compound annual returns.


*As at June 28, 2020

Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 4: Total Return (%), June 2020*

*As at June 28, 2020

Source: FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 5: Total Return (%), YTD 2020*

*As at June 28, 2020


Source: FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 6: 2020 YTD* Comparative Returns Summary

	Currency	Price Appreciation (%)	Total Return (%)
S&P/TSX Index	C\$	-11 %	-10%
S&P/TSX REIT Index	C\$	-24%	-23%
Bloomberg REIT Index (U.S. REITs)	US\$	-18%	-16%


*As at June 28, 2020

Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 7: 2020 YTD* Comparative Total Returns (Local Currency)

*As at June 28, 2020

Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 8: 2008–2020 YTD* Comparative Returns (Local Currency)

*As at June 28, 2020

Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 9: REIT Total Returns By Property Type (%)

Property Type	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2020*
Apartments	-3.70%	-18.20%	30.80%	28.70%	21.30%	19.70%	-7.90%	13.00%	6.00%	22.90%	16.90%	12.80%	27.60%	-10.50%
Hotel	15.80%	-46.80%	24.60%	16.90%	-30.50%	-11.30%	28.10%	20.70%	4.00%	23.60%	-2.80%	-24.70%	24.70%	-60.20%
Diversified	-4.60%	-33.40%	75.10%	29.20%	22.90%	14.80%	-2.50%	6.30%	-5.50%	20.20%	8.80%	-2.70%	18.60%	-47.00%
Office	-9.10%	-33.70%	65.90%	18.20%	13.60%	25.40%	-7.40%	4.00%	-0.60%	17.20%	21.90%	-2.30%	31.60%	-23.50%
Retail	-4.60%	-33.90%	63.60%	25.00%	19.20%	17.40%	-4.10%	9.20%	8.40%	17.00%	2.80%	-3.70%	25.20%	-24.40%
Industrials	-32.20%	-62.70%	106.00%	81.10%	12.10%	25.40%	-1.60%	5.00%	5.00%	22.40%	18.40%	19.40%	31.50%	-6.10%
Retirement	13.50%	-44.80%	59.70%	14.60%	6.10%	19.30%	-5.30%	22.80%	61.10%	11.50%	10.00%	-13.60%	18.70%	-42.50%
Average – Overall	0.60%	-33.70%	59.10%	25.00%	12.70%	17.90%	-3.60%	10.90%	9.30%	18.70%	11.70%	1.50%	26.10%	-25.10%
Average – Ex Hotels	-2.30%	-32.30%	63.00%	25.90%	16.00%	20.00%	-6.10%	10.30%	9.60%	18.40%	12.10%	2.10%	26.10%	-24.20%

*As at June 28, 2020

Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Key Investment Themes

In thinking about the path of potential REIT returns through the end of the year, we would suggest that the single most important driver (for REITs and the broader market) will be the pace of the recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic. To this end, we will be the first to admit that we have relatively low confidence in estimating the sustainability of the recovery that appears to be occurring at this time.

In addition to the pace of the COVID-19 recovery, we believe that a number of other themes could have an impact on the path of potential returns within the REIT complex (although they will likely take a backseat to the pandemic over the immediate near term).

Interest Rates: Interest rates in many countries across the globe are near record lows. At this time, we believe that significant upward pressure on rates is unlikely. Should the COVID-19 pandemic prove to be short-lived, we believe that the “lower-for-longer” interest rate narrative is likely to remain a central theme across the investment universe, which should, in turn, provide a favourable backdrop for real estate valuations. We would also stress that the eventual path of REIT returns is determined more so, we believe, by the embedded term structure of the curve than by the absolute rate move in and of itself. That being said, excessive moves in benchmark bond yields (either way) have represented good trading opportunities for the space historically – to the extent that rate volatility could increase over the next 12 months, alpha generation may be rooted in a more active approach to risk management and active trading strategies.

Momentum – Volatility Was Low (And Now It Isn’t): Recall that the real estate sector has for some time (over the past few years) screened favourably for quantitative investment strategies such as Low Volatility and Momentum. At the beginning of the year, we had suggested that sectors that have exhibited near-term positive momentum often represent a disproportionate weighting relative to benchmarks (i.e., we believed that Low Volatility funds had a real estate weighting that was well in excess of Real Estate’s weighting in the broader composite index). Implicit in that assumption was that some of the premium afforded to many REITs was a result of quantitative funds flows, and any increase in volatility and/or degradation in momentum could manifest itself in a sell-side imbalance. This dynamic appears to have played out, as the so-called “momentum trade” seems to have reversed.

A Quantitative Approach To REIT Investing: Our analysis (in conjunction with our Strategy Group) suggests that Net Asset Value, Funds From Operations, and Dividend Growth are powerful quantitative metrics. Momentum and current Dividend Yields (inverse) are also strong predicative factors of alpha. We propose a five-factor quantitative model based on the above. The top quintile REITs (under our coverage) based on the model include: IIP, GRT, CAR, KMP, CR, AP, and SMU.

Valuation – More Important In The Long Term: The sharp decline in REIT prices resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in valuation levels that are well below historical averages on a P/NAV basis (notably the apartment and industrial REITs have held up significantly better than other sectors). Key takeaways from our work on valuation are: 1) most REITs are trading below 2010-2019 trough valuations, but are still above Great Financial Crisis (GFC) troughs; 2) prior valuation troughs are a poor indicator of “price floors” given the nature of the current crisis (we believe sentiment will trump valuation in the near term); 3) the underlying value of the real estate has for the most part seen only a modest deterioration, and most REITs do exhibit tremendous valuation optionality (especially in a “quick recovery” situation); and, 4) valuation levels vary significantly across asset classes, with the hotel, seniors, and diversified asset classes trading at the largest discounts to NAV. Looking further out, we would expect the prevailing interest rate environment at that time to lay the foundation for valuations (a lower-for-longer rate environment is generally positive for the sector).

Don't Discount Development: With acquisition spreads narrowing over the past few years (largely a reflection of declining cap rates), internal growth opportunities such as development, re-development, and intensification have taken center stage for many Canadian REITs (especially across the retail sector). For the time being, we would expect development efforts to generally be pushed out until the COVID-19 situation dissipates.

However, over time, we expect many REITs to increase their focus (and disclosure) on these value-surfacing initiatives, which we wholeheartedly welcome – what may remain a question, longer term, will ultimately be the funding mechanism for the eventual completion of such plans and at what point the market will ultimately begin to give credit for such.

Balance Sheets And Debt Maturities: We are several years into a low rate cycle and, as a result, we have for some time called for the emergence of increasing rates on debt rolls (as we believed that low-cost financings put into place over the past five years would eventually hit the point where they started to turn higher). However, given the largely unforeseen current interest rate environment (i.e., rates are far lower than the vast majority would have predicted), the dynamic of favourable debt rolls looks to be a tailwind that may persist over the foreseeable future.

Pace Of COVID-19 Recovery To Dictate Path Of REIT Returns

The impact of the current pandemic situation has prompted the real estate complex to sell off to a magnitude that we suggest is perhaps disproportionate to the long-term value of the underlying assets. And while this circumstance likely represents an opportunity not seen since the 2008 global financial crisis, we are also pragmatic enough to recognize that the “unknown unknowns” will dominate the tape for the foreseeable future. Unlike the 2008 period, however, which was a banking crisis that permeated the economy, this is a pandemic that has entered the financial community. We would note that debt is the de facto lifeblood to real estate, and a widespread freezing of credit could have a lasting impact on not just real estate but on the broader economy at large.

Needless to say, we don't have much clarity on what the ultimate impact from the COVID-19 outbreak will be on the direct commercial property environment. From what we have seen to date, there have been some temporary dislocations, but it is not clear to what extent such dislocations might cause a longer-term impact. Once the economy restarts, and the majority of us are no longer at home, most of the fundamental market forces that were under way in the various asset classes should resume, albeit potentially at a more measured pace – that which was working will in all likelihood continue to do so.

Ultimately, we believe that the path of potential returns within the real estate complex will be driven by a number of factors. First and foremost, the recovery time associated with COVID-19 will be of great importance. In an optimistic scenario, where the current recovery continues, and a material “second wave” is avoided, we would generally expect a strong “reversion trade” (i.e., those REITs that have seen the largest price declines are likely to deliver the highest torque on the upside). Alongside the length of the situation/recovery time, the prospects of a prolonged recession will most likely be considered by most market participants. To the extent that a deep and elongated recession looks increasingly likely, we would expect those REITs that are generally more economically defensive to outperform. Under a more pessimistic scenario, in which the COVID-19 recovery does not continue, or the impact of the pandemic proves to be more detrimental to the overall economy than consensus currently assumes, the potential impact to REIT cash flows could be material (as rent deferment begins to compound and rent abatement could become a more realistic dynamic). Should this occur, those REITs with the highest available liquidity and most resilient balance sheets are most likely to outperform.

In general, we believe that the real estate complex is well capitalized as the use of leverage has fallen significantly since the GFC. Most REITs have sufficient liquidity to “cover” between four to 14 months of their top line (with an average of eight months), which we believe is


more than sufficient to absorb a temporary economic shock without stressing balance sheets beyond repair. Indeed, we believe that many industries are in as good, if not better, shape from a current liquidity perspective – in a prolonged period of economic stress, it's possible that all of this liquidity is called upon contemporaneously and might have the impact of stressing the banking system to the point that credit does get curtailed. While that's certainly not our base-case assumption, and we have seen multiple advances of increased credit facilities for REITs (at more advantageous terms we might add) since the pandemic started, it's a risk that can't nor shouldn't be ignored.

Interest Rates: Lower For Longer?

While the prevailing interest rate environment is always a key consideration in evaluating valuation levels within the REIT complex, we believe rate levels will likely take a back seat to the market's broader sentiment in regards to the COVID-19 situation for the foreseeable future. As such, the discussion below should be read within the context of an improving COVID-19 situation.

As we illustrate in the exhibit below, while the relationship is far from perfect, sharp pullbacks/increases in CAD 10-year Treasuries have had an inverse impact on the return profile of Canadian REITs (this was particularly evident in 2019).

Exhibit 10: A Lower-for-longer Yield Environment Generally Benefits All Yield-oriented Sectors




Source: Bloomberg, FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

To this end, we are of the view that within an increasingly benign interest rate environment, valuation levels across all yield-oriented investments are likely to find support at levels that are higher than they have been historically (all things equal, of course). All this is to say that:

- Once the COVID-19 situation is in the rear-view mirror, if interest rates remain at such low levels, a rebound to pre-COVID valuation levels is plausible.
- The entire complex should continue to demonstrate a heightened volatility to any sharp (i.e., unexpected) moves in interest rates (...again, assuming a recovery from COVID-19).
- Should long-term interest rates increase, or should the yield curve steepen, valuation levels are more likely to eventually revert closer to historical levels (i.e., not the elevated pre-COVID-19 levels).


Taking a step back, we would note that while much attention is given to the directionality of long-term interest rates, the flattening/steepening of the yield curve has proven to be a more accurate harbinger of REIT performance (with REITs delivering higher returns when the yield curve flattens, and vice versa). We demonstrate the high correlation between REIT performance and the structure of the yield curve in the exhibit below.

Exhibit 11: The Term Structure Of The Yield Curve Drives REIT Performance

Source: FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Looking at the longer-term trend in two- to 10-year spreads, several things stand out:

- Over time, the slope of the yield curve has been extremely volatile, having been inverted by as much as 200 bps during recessionary periods and widening in excess of 250 bps during economic expansions.
- Like most time series data, the spread tends to be mean reversionary and, despite a fairly wide dispersion, tends to anchor to its long-term average spread of ~100 bps.
- Every time the trend line has broken this long-term average from prior highs, the mean reversion has manifested itself in an inverted curve. From current levels, the potential for a steepening of the yield curve is a risk that cannot be ignored.

Exhibit 12: U.S. Generic Government Two- To 10-year Spread

Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

With the combination of modest potential cash flow impacts if interest rates rise, current NAVs already incorporating slightly higher interest rates, and progress on delivering and refocusing on value creation, we expect Canadian REITs are positioned to perform relatively well should a modestly higher interest rate environment emerge. While REITs tend to, and should be expected to, sell off during periods of sharply rising bond yields, we expect any such material downward pressure could present attractive opportunities to buy Canadian REITs, particularly for investors with an income orientation and a longer-term focus.

CIBC Economics anticipates that the GoC 10-year bond yield should remain relatively low through 2020.

Exhibit 13: CIBC World Markets' Interest Rate Forecast (%)

End Of Period:	Current	2020		2021			
	30-June-20	Sep	Dec	Mar	June	Sep	Dec
98-Day Treasury Bills	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.35	0.35	0.35
Chartered Bank Prime	2.45	2.45	2.45	2.45	2.45	2.45	2.45
10-Year Gov't. Bond	0.51	0.70	0.75	0.80	0.85	0.85	1.25
30-Year Gov't. Bond	0.98	1.30	1.20	1.30	1.40	1.50	1.80

Source: Bank of Canada, FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Momentum: It Works, Until It Doesn't

While momentum investing (in its crudest definition, investing in stocks based on the premise that the continuation of an existing market trend is likely to occur) has been a well-known concept/strategy for some time (i.e., the pioneering research behind the strategy occurred before the turn of the millennium), the rising prominence of quantitative investing has resulted in an increase in AUM that is specifically dedicated to the strategy.


Consider that our strategy team estimates that over 20% of Canadian stocks are now owned by entities using at least some quantitative discipline for security selection. Further, “Low Volatility” and “Momentum” are among the most common “factors” screened by quantitative investors; of note is that many stocks that screen well on one of these factors also screen well on the other (i.e., there is oftentimes significant overlap between a portfolio of stocks that screen well on low volatility and a portfolio that screens well on momentum – coincidence or causality?).

So why does this matter for REIT investors? We believe, even if at the margin, that momentum was a significant driver of the premium valuations afforded to REITs over the course of the past few years. In support of this thesis, we note that industry research suggested (in late 2019) that the REIT sector had in recent times screened increasingly well for inclusion in “low volatility” portfolios and, therefore, by extension, momentum portfolios.

Further, our research indicated that a sector can very quickly become “out of favour” from a momentum/low volatility perspective. Our key takeaway from the above was straightforward – any increase in volatility and/or degradation in momentum may manifest itself in a sell-side imbalance (a dynamic that has since played out); to the extent that valuation is, in its simplest form, a function of supply and demand, the premium afforded to the REIT sector (pre-COVID-19) was at least partially a result of funds flow in a growing pool of invested assets, which, given its mathematical nature, was not necessarily long-term committed capital.

Where do we go from here? We suspect (while fully acknowledging that we are by no means experts in such matters) that given the real estate sector's underperformance YTD, the complex will no longer screen positively for most quant investors. As such, we believe that the tailwind of being part of the “momentum trade” has all but abated.

In an attempt to illustrate the impact that momentum can have on REIT returns on an individual stock basis, we provide in the exhibit below the average valuation of the most “expensive” and most “cheap” REITs since 2010 (i.e., the top and bottom 15% of REITs on a P/FFO basis). As per the chart, momentum has been particularly strong since mid-2017 (primarily favoring the industrial and residential sub-sectors), with the difference between the most expensive and cheapest REITs reaching a high of ~19x in early March 2020 (vs. an average spread of ~9x). We would note that the spread has since declined to ~15x.

Exhibit 14: Momentum Has Been Particularly Strong Over Past Two Years

Source: FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

A Quantitative Approach To REITs

In addition to our own work on momentum (see section above), we recently teamed up with our portfolio strategy group to explore the merits of a quantitative approach to REIT investing (see full report [here](#) for an explanation of our methodology and a closer look at the factors we tested).

We find that there are opportunities to use quantitatively based approaches to drive outperformance in the real estate space. While only having its own GICS category for less than four years, REIT fundamentals have always been unique, so quant analysis needs to be tailored to the sector. Our analysis suggests that growth in Net Asset Value, Funds From Operations, and Dividend Yield are powerful metrics.

In addition, the sector is very sensitive to Momentum, while Yield is an inverse factor. We are not surprised to see that momentum has been a powerful indicator of future returns (such has been easily observed for those closely monitoring the REIT complex over the past few years). However, we do note the following. The “beds and sheds” theme has prevailed for much of the recent past, with the vast majority of REITs within the apartment and industrial sub-sectors generally outperforming the broader sector. As such, we propose that the observed strength of momentum as a factor in stock selection may be closely related to this fundamental theme. This would further imply that the momentum factor is perhaps most important at the sub-sector level (i.e., those sub-sectors that have recently outperformed may continue to outperform).

Comfortingly, several factors that REIT fundamental investors consider relevant (i.e., those mentioned above) scored well upon a quantitative review. On the other hand, there was little quantitative support for other commonplace metrics such as leverage, payout ratios, and same-property growth. Our conclusions do not suggest that investors eschew rigorous, multi-faceted analysis – rather that use of quantitative metrics can enhance returns.

With the above in hand, we create a multi-factor REIT model for stock selection that appears to drive alpha. It uses NAV Growth, Dividend Growth, FFO Growth, Current Dividend Yield, and Momentum. REITs that are currently attractive based on this model are highlighted below.

Exhibit 15: REITs Recommended By Our Multi-factor Quantitative Model


Quintile (Ranking)				
1	2	3	4	5
IIP-U	MRG-U	CRR-U	PLZ-U	PRV-U
SVI	MI-U	SRU-U	NXR-U	EXE
GRT-U	WIR-U	SIA	DIR-U	HR-U
CAR-U	BEI-U	FCR-U	INO-U	SRT-U
KMP-U	HOM-U	TNT-U	AX-U	MR-U
MEQ	CHP-U	APR-U	IVQ-U	CUF-U
CRT-U	NWH-U	CSH-U	BPY	HOT-U
AP-U		D-U	BTB-U	MRT-U
SMU-U		REI-U		SOT-U

Source: CIBC World Markets Inc.

Valuation – More Important For The Long Term


Canadian REITs (broadly defined by our coverage universe) are trading at valuations (overall) that are well below long-term historical measures on a P/NAV basis (our preferred valuation measure at this time). However, the COVID-19 pandemic is a unique situation, and valuation levels need to be evaluated within this context. To this end, we would note the following:

- Many REITs are trading below 2010-2019 trough valuations, although residential and industrial REITs are a notable exception. Most REITs are still above GFC trough.
- Prior valuation troughs are a poor indicator of “price floors” given the nature of the current crisis (we believe sentiment will trump fundamentals and valuation levels in the near term).
- The underlying value of real estate has for the most part seen only a modest deterioration, and most REITs do exhibit tremendous valuation optionality (especially in a “quick recovery” situation).
- Valuation levels vary significantly across asset classes, with the hotel, seniors, and diversified asset classes trading at the largest discounts to NAV.
- Looking further out, we would expect the prevailing interest rate environment at that time to lay the foundation for valuations (a lower-for-longer rate environment is generally positive for the sector).

Exhibit 16: Canadian REITs – Historical Discount To NAV

Source: FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.


Compared to historical valuations, current REIT prices (with the S&P/TSX Canadian REIT Index serving as a proxy) reflect an average NTM FFO multiple of 14.5x, essentially in line with the five-year average of 14.2x and post-Great Financial Crisis (2010 onwards) average of 14.1x. However, we believe that forward FFO estimates are a poor indicator of the longer-term cash flow potential of the underlying real estate of most REITs given the presumably short-term impact of COVID-19 on cash flows and, as a result, we place relatively low importance on P/FFO multiples at this time (P/NAV is our preferred measure).

Exhibit 17: P/FFO Range By Sector; P/FFO NTM Multiple Since 2006

Source: FactSet, Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

REITs with below-average multiples that have experienced significant multiple contraction may carry an overall lower valuation risk profile, such as certain REITs within the diversified, retail, and retirement sectors (which are generally trading at below-average multiples) vs. the apartment and industrial sub-sectors, which are trading near (and even above) historical multiple averages. We expect large deviations from historical averages to revert to said averages over time, barring any material change that could impact the long-term fundamentals of the underlying asset.

Exhibit 18: Mean Reversion: P/FFO (NTM Consensus)



Source: FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

In the section below, we provide a PEGY analysis for the Canadian REIT sector. We believe this measure can provide a meaningful supplemental valuation metric for those investors who focus on the P/FFO valuation metric, as it helps explain away differences in valuation that can be rightfully attributable to expected future growth prospects.

Exhibit 19: CIBC PEGY By Sub-sector

	FFO Growth (20E/21E)	Yield	Total Growth	Forward P/FFO	PEGY
Retail					
Shopping Centre	4.9%	7.3%	12.2%	11.1	1.0
Small Cap Retail	3.5%	10.0%	13.5%	8.7	0.7
Total Retail	4.3%	8.4%	12.7%	10.2	0.8
Residential					
Domestic Residential	7.7%	3.2%	10.9%	19.6	2.0
International Residential	10.0%	3.8%	13.8%	18.0	1.4
Total Residential	8.6%	3.5%	12.1%	19.0	1.8
Office					
Domestic Office	6.2%	7.7%	13.9%	11.0	0.9
International Office	9.6%	9.2%	18.8%	12.1	0.6
Total Office	7.3%	8.2%	15.5%	11.4	0.8
Industrial	5.1%	5.4%	10.5%	15.7	1.6
Diversified	6.1%	9.4%	15.6%	6.1	0.5
Seniors	8.6%	6.4%	15.0%	8.6	1.1
Average All Sectors	6.6%	6.6%	13.2%	13.6	1.1


Source: FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

With the average REIT trading at a PEGY of 1.1x, we use this as the benchmark in comparing whether an asset class is relatively cheap/expensive after controlling for its respective growth prospects. We find that domestic residential REITs continue to trade at a relative high of a 2.0x PEGY, which suggests that investors are paying substantially more for potential growth (after accounting for yield as well) in this sub-sector. On the other hand, the diversified asset class screens particularly well, which is partially a reflection of the very high yield currently being offered by diversified REITs.

In the exhibit below, we illustrate the PEGY of individual REITs under our coverage, and observe the following:

- CAR.UN and MI.UN screen as the most expensive REITs within our coverage space on a PEGY basis.
- Retail REITs as a sub-sector have a PEGY that is slightly below the broader Real Estate sector, but there is a large divergence within this sub-sector (SRT.UN, APR.UN, and SRU.UN screen most favourably).
- While Diversified REITs screen favourably as a whole, one should acknowledge the “diversification discount” typically applied to these REITs. We prefer high-quality names within the sub-sector, such as BPY and HR.UN.


Exhibit 20: PEGY Analysis By REIT



Source: FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

The spread between REIT yields and the GoC 10-year bond yield, after generally declining over the past few years, has blown out since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, and is well above the long-term average seen during non-credit-crisis periods. The current spread of 579 bps is ~247 bps above the average of 332 bps during 2002-2007 inclusive (and a 2007 low of 133 bps). Notably, the spread remained largely close to or above 400 bps from mid-2011, when the 10-year GoC dropped sharply, below 3.0%, through until late 2013 and then again for most of 2014 and 2015. A significantly wider-than-average spread is suggestive of a valuation “cushion.”

In previous instances in 2003, 2010, and 2012, the 500 bps threshold had correctly identified discounted pricing, and the market quickly responded with price gains. Of course, the spread also exceeded the 500 bps threshold in 2008/2009, which ultimately did turn out to be a good buying opportunity, albeit over a slightly longer horizon.

Exhibit 21: REIT Yield Spread History (%)

Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.


With REIT yield spreads having spent a portion of the most recent cycle at 500 bps or more, we believe our new normal spread range of 350 bps to 500 bps (with a strong buy signal at 600 bps) could be a reliable valuation tool in an environment of more moderate (and in some cases quite soft) property fundamentals, low interest rates, and lower economic growth. All things equal, the current yield spread of ~579 bps would suggest that current prices may prove to offer an attractive entry point for longer-term investors.

Exhibit 22: Year-end REIT Yield Spreads Versus Following-year Unweighted REIT Returns

Year-end	Year-end REIT Yield Spread	Following-year Unweighted REIT Return
1997	2.70%	-9.90%
1998	6.70%	11.10%
1999	5.50%	18.60%
2000	6.00%	22.00%
2001	3.90%	8.60%
2002	5.40%	25.20%
2003	3.30%	14.70%
2004	3.60%	18.90%
2005	3.20%	27.50%
2006	2.10%	1.00%
2007	3.70%	-33.70%
2008	9.80%	59.10%
2009	4.50%	25.00%
2010	4.00%	12.70%
2011	5.00%	17.90%
2012	4.20%	-3.60%
2013	3.70%	10.90%
2014	4.80%	9.30%
2015	5.40%	18.70%
2016	4.70%	12.20%
2017	4.20%	1.50%
2018	5.10%	26.10%
2019A/2020E	3.90%	NA
Median (1997– 2019)	4.40%	13.70%

Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.


This spread is also somewhat skewed by changing REIT dynamics, as payout ratios have declined over time and the liquidity of REITs has improved dramatically with a material increase of the aggregate market capitalization of the REIT sector since the peak in 2007. If instead of using REIT distribution yields, we use AFFO yields, the spread is more dramatic.

Exhibit 23: REIT AFFO Yield Spread (%)

Note: FactSet TTM AFFO estimates used subsequent to May 2009.

Source: FactSet, Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

On the surface, REIT valuations look attractive when viewed through the lens of the competitive landscape.

Exhibit 24: Relative Spreads And Yields By Sector

Source: FactSet, Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

From a direct property-market cap rate perspective, the picture is perhaps even more compelling. The spread between cap rates for good-quality commercial property (column A, Exhibit below) and real 10-year Government of Canada bond yields (column G, Exhibit below) is 770 bps (column H, Exhibit below), at the widest end of the historical range (above historical average of ~470 bps) over the past 25 years of 90 bps to as much as 770 bps (i.e., now).


Exhibit 25: Comparison Of Commercial Property Cap Rates (Yields), Nominal GoC Yields, Real GoC Yields, Nominal Corporate A Bond Yields, And Real Corporate A Bond Yields

Column	Est. Average Commercial Property Yield (Cap Rate)	10-year Nominal GoC Yield	Average Spread: Commercial Property Yield Over Nominal 10-year GoC Yield	10-year Canada Corporate A Bond Yield	Average Spread: Commercial Property Yield Over 10-year Canada Corporate A Bond Yield	CPI	10-year GoC Yield Minus Inflation	Average Spread: Commercial Property Yield (Cap Rate) Over 10-year GoC Yield Minus Inflation	10-year Canada Corporate A Yield Minus Inflation	Average Spread: Commercial Property Yield Over 10-year Canada Corporate A Bond Yield Minus Inflation
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1988	8.20%	10.00%	-1.80%			4.00%	6.00%	2.20%		
1989	7.90%	10.20%	-2.30%			4.50%	5.70%	2.20%		
1990	7.30%	9.60%	-2.30%			5.00%	4.60%	2.70%		
1991	7.60%	10.30%	-2.70%			6.30%	4.00%	3.60%		
1992	7.40%	8.10%	-0.70%	9.30%	-1.90%	1.60%	6.50%	0.90%	7.70%	-0.30%
1993	7.90%	7.90%	0.00%	7.70%	0.20%	1.80%	6.10%	1.80%	5.90%	2.00%
1994	8.80%	6.60%	2.20%	9.80%	-1.00%	0.20%	6.40%	2.40%	9.60%	-0.80%
1995	8.90%	9.10%	-0.20%	7.80%	1.20%	2.50%	6.60%	2.30%	5.30%	3.70%
1996	9.40%	7.10%	2.30%	6.70%	2.70%	1.40%	5.70%	3.70%	5.30%	4.10%
1997	9.20%	6.40%	2.80%	6.20%	3.10%	1.70%	4.70%	4.50%	4.50%	4.80%
1998	9.00%	5.60%	3.40%	5.70%	3.30%	0.80%	4.80%	4.20%	4.90%	4.10%
1999	8.50%	4.90%	3.60%	7.10%	1.40%	1.70%	3.20%	5.30%	5.40%	3.10%
2000	9.30%	5.90%	3.40%	6.50%	2.80%	2.70%	3.20%	6.10%	3.80%	5.50%
2001	9.00%	5.50%	3.50%	6.40%	2.60%	2.50%	3.00%	6.00%	3.90%	5.10%
2002	9.30%	5.40%	3.90%	5.80%	3.50%	2.30%	3.10%	6.20%	3.50%	5.80%
2003	8.90%	4.80%	4.10%	5.30%	3.70%	2.80%	2.00%	6.90%	2.50%	6.50%
2004	8.60%	4.60%	4.00%	4.90%	3.70%	1.80%	2.80%	5.80%	3.10%	5.50%
2005	8.00%	4.10%	3.90%	4.70%	3.30%	2.20%	1.90%	6.10%	2.50%	5.50%
2006	6.40%	4.20%	2.20%	4.90%	1.50%	2.00%	2.20%	4.20%	2.90%	3.50%
2007	6.20%	4.00%	2.20%	5.20%	1.00%	2.10%	1.90%	4.30%	3.10%	3.10%
2008	6.40%	2.60%	3.80%	6.60%	-0.20%	1.70%	0.90%	5.50%	4.90%	1.50%
2009	7.00%	3.60%	3.40%	4.70%	2.30%	1.70%	1.90%	5.10%	3.00%	4.00%
2010	6.50%	3.10%	3.40%	4.30%	2.20%	1.80%	1.30%	5.20%	2.50%	4.00%
2011	6.50%	1.90%	4.60%	3.40%	3.10%	2.90%	-1.00%	7.50%	1.40%	6.00%
2012	6.00%	1.80%	4.20%	3.00%	3.00%	1.50%	0.30%	5.70%	1.00%	4.50%
2013	6.00%	2.80%	3.20%	3.90%	2.10%	0.90%	1.90%	4.10%	1.90%	3.00%
2014	6.00%	1.80%	4.20%	3.10%	2.90%	1.90%	-0.10%	6.10%	1.20%	4.80%
2015	6.00%	1.40%	4.60%	3.30%	2.70%	1.90%	-0.50%	6.50%	1.40%	4.60%
2016	6.00%	1.70%	4.30%	3.00%	3.00%	1.70%	0.00%	6.00%	1.30%	4.70%
2017	6.00%	2.00%	4.00%	3.10%	2.90%	1.60%	0.40%	5.60%	1.50%	4.50%
2018	6.25%	2.00%	4.30%	3.40%	2.90%	2.20%	-0.20%	6.50%	1.10%	5.10%
2019	6.25%	1.70%	4.60%	2.60%	3.70%	1.70%	0.00%	6.30%	0.90%	5.40%
YTD 2020	6.50%	0.50%	6.00%	1.90%	4.60%	1.70%	-1.20%	7.70%	0.20%	6.30%

Source: StatsCan, ICREIM/IPD and CIBC World Markets Inc


We view this spread (column H) as a proxy for the risk premium for investing in real estate. It remains at very wide levels, reflecting moderating fundamentals across Canadian property markets and the current low-interest-rate environment.

Exhibit 26: Average Commercial Property Cap Rates Vs. Real 10-year GoC Bonds And Real 10-year Corporate A Bond Yields




Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 27: Average Real Spreads: Commercial Property Cap Rates Vs. Real 10-year GoC Bond Yields And Real 10-year Corporate A Bond Yields




Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 28: Average Commercial Property Cap Rates Vs. Nominal 10-year GoC Bond Yields And Nominal 10-year Corporate A Bond Yields



Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 29: Nominal Average Spreads: Average Commercial Cap Rates Vs. Nominal 10-year GoC Bond Yields And Nominal 10-year Corporate A Bond Yields

Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Don't Discount Development

With acquisition spreads narrowing over the past few years (largely a reflection of declining cap rates), internal growth opportunities such as development, re-development, and intensification have taken centre stage for many Canadian REITs. In the immediate near term, we expect the COVID-19 pandemic to result in the postponement (although not outright cancellation) of many development projects.


With that said, we continue to expect development activity to be particularly topical for the retail asset class in 2021 (and thereafter), as many REITs within this sub-sector have made such initiatives a strategic focus. Further, we believe that many retail REITs, especially those with portfolios in core urban areas, are well positioned to deliver; low-density retail properties can be re-developed/intensified into higher-value, mixed-use properties at a substantially lower cost than many competing developers, as the land is already owned by the REIT (note that raw land prices have increased substantially over the past decade).

While this growth strategy offers attractive and significant value-creation opportunities for many Canadian REITs, it is also more complicated and difficult to execute than other strategies. We highlight a number of challenges REITs face in capitalizing on development and intensification opportunities in the exhibit below.

In addition, while development and intensification may be viewed as value-creation activities, we would note that a significant portion of the value “created” through these activities can be more accurately described as value that is being surfaced, as these development sites have seen their values rise substantially on a highest and best-use basis. This, in a sense, means that while Canadian REITs are well positioned to benefit from rising values for developable sites, capital gains recognition constrains (but does not preclude) these REITs’ ability to surface, crystalize, and benefit from this rising value.

We expect that demonstrating and gaining recognition from unitholders and security analysts of the value of pipelines and value created through development will require significant additional disclosure and education efforts, which may or may not result in a fuller reflection of value in unit prices.

Exhibit 30: Development Pipeline By REIT; Challenges In Pursuing Development Strategy



Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

From a development perspective, we favour those REITs with a meaningful pipeline of potential developments (see exhibit above), demonstrated development experience (e.g., SRU.UN and AP.UN), and those further along in the development cycle (e.g., REI.UN, which has significant zoning approvals, representing around half of its development pipeline in place).


Balance Sheets And Debt Maturities

It is important to acknowledge that Canadian REITs employ significant levels of debt within their respective capital structures, and, as such, re-financing risk can be material on an individual REIT basis. More explicitly, the level of re-financing risk for any given REIT over the short term (i.e., through 2020) is a function of the aggregate debt maturing over this period, the spread between a given REIT's current effective rate on maturing debt and prevailing market borrowing rates, and the mix of fixed/floating rate debt.

We are several years into a low rate cycle, and, as result, we have for some time called for the emergence of increasing rates on debt rolls (as we believed that low-cost financings put into place over the past five years would eventually hit the point where they started to turn higher). However, given the largely unforeseen current interest rate environment (i.e., rates are far lower than the vast majority would have predicted), the dynamic of favourable debt rolls looks to be a tailwind that may persist over the foreseeable future.

Below, we highlight the sensitivity of projected FFO to increases in each REIT's respective long-term benchmark interest rate (i.e., CAD 10-year Treasury yield for most Canadian REITs). More specifically, the charts below depict the impact to 2020E FFO assuming all maturing 2020 debt is refinanced under the following scenarios: 1) a flat rate environment; and, 2) a 100 bps rise in rates (borrowing costs). For purposes of simplification, we have assumed that each REIT elects to extend its debt by 10 years on maturity, acknowledging that that is the most conservative assumption (particularly in that average terms tend to cluster around the five-year mark). For those investors aiming to actively mitigate re-financing risk, IIP.UN and CUF.UN screen particularly well in a 100 bps higher interest rate environment.

Exhibit 31: The Impact Of Re-financing At Various Interest Rate Levels On 2020E FFO (As At Q1/20)



Source: Company reports, FactSet, and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Mind The Currency

Through assets owned and/or managed in the U.S., the REITs noted in the exhibit below provide direct U.S. dollar currency exposure. Hedging of this exposure is little (i.e., hedging of distributions) to none, which generally reflects a hedge of less than ~10% of the investment value. Ownership of U.S.-denominated assets largely tends to be funded through equity and U.S.-denominated debt, providing a 1:1 currency exposure to equity.

Exhibit 32: REIT NOI Exposure To US\$

	% US (NOI)	Property-Type	Trading Price Currency	Currency Hedging
American Hotel	100%	Hotel	US\$ / C\$	US\$ Distributions
Artis REIT ¹	50%	Diversified	C\$	US\$ Debt & US\$ Preferred Stock
Brookfield Asset Management ²	~50%		US\$ / C\$	Derivative Financial Instruments
H&R REIT ³	48%	Diversified	C\$	US\$ Debt
Morguard NA Residential	63%	Multi-residential	C\$	US\$ Cash Flow Repays US\$ Expenses and Debt
Slate Retail REIT	100%	Retail	US\$ / C\$	US\$ Debt
WPT Industrial REIT	100%	Industrial	US\$	US\$ Debt
BSR REIT	100%	Multi-residential	US\$	US\$ Debt
BPY ⁴	67%	Diversified	US\$	Derivative Financial Instruments
Granite REIT	43%	Industrial	C\$	Derivative Financial Instruments
Invesque	91%	Seniors	US\$	US\$ Debt
Slate Office	20%	Office	C\$	Derivative Financial Instruments

1 Artis REIT has issued preferred equity in addition to US\$ mortgage debt, which reduces the net US\$ exposure.

2 BAM segmented by total consolidated assets (as at Q1/20) .

3 HR exposure based on fair value of assets (including PUDs and Equity Accounted Investments).

4 BPY exposure based on percentage of RE AUM at the Brookfield Property Group level – as per March 2020 corporate profile.

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Several of the REITs we cover own U.S. properties exclusively, and operate and report in U.S. dollars. Others offer a combination of Canadian and U.S. properties, reporting in either U.S. or Canadian dollars. We won't try to forecast the exchange rate – the Canadian dollar has been trading in a range of \$0.69 to \$0.77 for about a year.

Exhibit 33: CIBC Economics' Exchange Rate Forecast

End Of Period:	Current	2020		2021			
	(June 30)	Sep	Dec	Mar	Jun	Sep	Dec
USD/CAD	1.37	1.39	1.38	1.37	1.38	1.39	1.41
CAD/USD	0.73	0.72	0.72	0.73	0.72	0.72	0.71

Source: CIBC World Markets Inc.

Update On M&A

M&A has been a fairly consistent feature of the Canadian REIT sector for the past several years, averaging about three takeovers a year for the last 16 years. Our expectation for the upcoming year is for M&A activity to be in line with the historical average, at approximately three transactions.

One acquisition has been announced in 2020 thus far – the pending acquisition of Northview Apartment REIT by Starlight and KingSett for ~\$4.8B in total value. We are restricted on Northview, but on a factual note, four of the last five major transactions have taken place in the multi-residential space, demonstrating high institutional interest for apartment assets. Additionally, on July 2, Brookfield Property Partners announced its intention to commence a tender offer to purchase up to ~\$110MM of its Class A for US\$12.00 per share.

For 2019, public M&A activity was concentrated in apartments, with the acquisitions of Pure Multi-Family and Starlight U.S. Multi-Family (No. 5) Core Fund. Continuum Residential REIT almost completed its IPO process when it sold its high-rise GTA portfolio to Starlight Investments for ~\$1.7B. Blackstone also made a repeat appearance from 2018 with its acquisition of Dream Global. Valuations were more muted compared to historical levels on a NAV basis (average P/NAV of only ~102%). However, if the Dream Global acquisition is adjusted for our estimated value on the external management contract, the implied P/NAV rises to ~120%, and the average P/NAV takeout premium for 2019 becomes ~107%, or more in line with 2018.

South of the border, M&A was especially active for industrial REITs, with Blackstone's acquisition of Colony Industrial and the acquisition of Liberty Property Trust by Prologis.

For 2018, M&A was in line with our expectations for activity to reach the historical average. The acquisitions of Pure Industrial REIT by Blackstone, Canadian REIT by Choice Properties REIT, and Agellan Commercial by the El-Ad Group reflected a healthy level of activity across multiple real estate sub-sectors. The three takeovers were announced at an average of a ~16% premium to the prior-day closing price and a 10% premium to NAV (relatively lower than the post-2009 historical average).

Exhibit 34: 2018 To YTD 2020 – M&A Activity

Announcement Date	Target	Acquirer	Takeover Unit Price	Premium % to Closing Price	Forward P/FFO	Forward P/AFFO	Price To NAV
20-Feb-2020	Northview Apartment REIT	Starlight / KingSett	\$36.25	11.5%	R	R	R
15-Sep-2019	Dream Global REIT	Blackstone	\$16.79	18.5%	16.1x	17.7x	105%
18-Jul-2019	Pure Multi-Family REIT	Cortland Partners	US\$7.61	4.0%	19.5x	21.1x	101%
2-Apr-2019	Starlight U.S. Multi-Family (No. 5) Class A	Tricon Capital Group	\$11.10	26.0%	N/A	N/A	100%
2019 M&A Average				16.1%	17.8x	19.4x	102%
14-Nov-2018	Agellan Commercial REIT	El-Ad Group	\$14.25	4.9%	13.0x	14.4x	106%
4-May-2018	Canadian REIT	Choice Properties REIT	\$53.61	23.1%	16.3x	19.9x	110%
9-Jan-2018	Pure Industrial REIT	Blackstone	\$8.10	20.5%	18.8x	20.8x	116%
2018 M&A Average				16.2%	16.0x	18.4x	110%
Historical Average (2010-19)				24.5%	14.6x	19.2x	113%

Source: Company reports, FactSet and CIBC World Markets Inc.

With the sustained low interest rate environment, we believe most Canadian pension funds continue to be underweight real estate relative to target allocations. According to NAREIT, the average pension fund target allocation for global real estate is estimated to be in the range of 7% to 10%, and falls below the optimal investable market weight of 15% to 20%. With total Canadian pension plan assets surpassing \$2.0T in 2019 (as per StatsCan) and the average allocation to global real estate for trustee pension plans in Canada estimated at ~10%, the shortfall in real estate exposure could exceed the market cap of all publicly traded REITs/REOCs in Canada.

With Canadian REITs broadly trading at a substantial discount to NAV, and pension funds underweight the asset class, we expect conditions could be ideal for the privatization of select Canadian REITs by pension funds that are looking for high-quality assets and an established management platform. A potential takeover scenario would also require either a lack of controlling shareholder and an internal management structure, or an amenable controlling shareholder or external manager.

We would advise against investing in REITs solely for the potential of being acquired; however, we believe the REITs that could be likely to receive takeover offers in the future might include:

- RioCan REIT;
- Chartwell Seniors Housing;
- Summit Industrial REIT; and,
- WPT Industrial REIT.

Cap Rates Stabilizing

The relationship between cap rates and interest rates, while certainly linked, is more complex than merely a linear mathematical relationship that moves lock step in a 1:1 fashion. The ultimate movement of cap rates also factors in such elements as underlying real estate fundamentals, inflation, investor risk appetite, capital flows, and asset allocation, to name a few. For Q1/20, cap rates were sequentially stable, according to CBRE's cap rate survey, while transaction volumes are expected to continue falling given the ongoing COVID-19 health crisis. Among the largest markets in Canada, only cap rates for retail properties in Greater Halifax expanded during the quarter.

Exhibit 35: Income Property Capitalization Rates (%) As Of Q1/20

		Toronto		Montreal		Ottawa		Calgary		Vancouver		Edmonton	
		Q1/20	Q4/19	Q1/20	Q4/19	Q1/20	Q4/19	Q1/20	Q4/19	Q1/20	Q4/19	Q1/20	Q4/19
Office:	Downtown Premium A	4.00-4.50%	4.00-4.50%	4.50-5.00%	4.50-5.00%	4.75-5.25%	4.75-5.25%	5.25-5.75%	5.25-5.75%	3.75-4.00%	3.75-4.00%	5.50-6.00%	5.50-6.00%
	Downtown Class A	4.25-4.75%	4.25-4.75%	4.75-5.25%	4.75-5.25%	5.00-5.50%	5.00-5.50%	6.25-7.00%	6.25-7.00%	3.75-4.25%	3.75-4.25%	6.75-7.50%	6.75-7.50%
	Suburban Class A	5.75-6.50%	5.75-6.50%	6.00-6.50%	6.00-6.50%	6.25-6.75%	6.25-6.75%	6.00-6.75%	6.00-6.75%	4.75-5.50%	4.75-5.50%	6.75-7.50%	6.75-7.50%
Retail:	Power Centers	6.00-7.00%	6.00-7.00%	6.25-6.75%	6.25-6.75%	6.00-6.75%	6.00-6.75%	5.75-6.25%	5.75-6.25%	5.00-5.00%	5.00-5.00%	6.25-6.75%	6.25-6.75%
	Regional Malls	4.25-5.50%	4.25-5.50%	5.50-6.00%	5.50-6.00%	5.00-5.75%	5.00-5.75%	4.75-5.50%	4.75-5.50%	4.00-4.50%	4.00-4.50%	5.00-5.50%	5.00-5.50%
	Strip Centers	6.00-7.00%	6.00-7.00%	7.00-7.75%	7.00-7.75%	6.50-7.50%	6.50-7.50%	5.75-6.25%	5.75-6.25%	5.00-5.50%	5.00-5.50%	6.25-6.75%	6.25-6.75%
Multi-Res:	High Rise Class A	2.75-3.75%	2.75-3.75%	3.75-4.25%	3.75-4.25%	3.50-4.00%	3.50-4.00%	4.00-4.50%	4.00-4.50%	2.50-3.00%	2.50-3.00%	4.00-4.50%	4.00-4.50%
	Low Rise Class A	2.75-3.75%	2.75-3.75%	4.50-5.00%	4.50-5.00%	3.50-4.00%	3.50-4.00%	4.50-5.00%	4.50-5.00%	2.75-3.25%	2.75-3.25%	5.25-5.75%	5.25-5.75%
Industrial:	Class A	3.75-4.25%	3.75-4.25%	4.50-5.00%	4.50-5.00%	4.50-5.00%	4.50-5.00%	5.00-5.50%	5.00-5.50%	3.50-4.00%	3.50-4.00%	5.25-5.75%	5.25-5.75%
Hotel*:	Downtown Full-Ser.	4.50-6.00%	4.50-6.00%	7.00-8.00%	7.00-8.00%	7.00-8.00%	7.00-8.00%	6.75-8.50%	6.75-8.50%	4.50-6.00%	4.50-6.00%	7.00-8.50%	7.00-8.50%
	Suburban – Lim.-Ser.	7.00-8.50%	7.00-8.50%	7.75-8.75%	7.75-8.75%	7.75-8.75%	7.75-8.75%	7.75-9.00%	7.75-9.00%	6.50-7.50%	6.50-7.50%	8.25-9.25%	8.25-9.25%

Note: * Hotel cap rates are based on net operating income after provisions for maintenance-type capital expenditures.

Source: CBRE and CIBC World Markets Inc.

The two most important factors for a supportive environment for real estate and REIT financial and operating performance are: 1) access to debt and, to a lesser degree, cost of debt; and, 2) new development/supply.

While the ongoing COVID-19 crisis has led to potential short-term payment deferrals for tenants and borrowers alike, this has so far been limited to small businesses and financially stressed residential owners. We would highlight that the Canadian banking sector still appears to be on sound footing and that, unlike the financial crisis, the current economic challenge originated outside of the financial system, and the largest corporate constituents have not forsaken their contractual obligations to deliver on rent or debt payments. We think debt remains available in the current environment, which is significantly more critical for the proper function of REITs and real estate markets than cost of debt.

Since the credit crisis, the private sector has undergone a significant de-levering, including declining corporate debt issuance and significant equity capital-raising activities. Canadian banks, in particular, have adopted more conservative leverage and lending practices, which are quite favourable for the conservative borrowing profile of secured mortgages in the largely fully recourse and prudent loan-to-value Canadian mortgage market.

At the outset of 2014, recognizing that interest rates had reached, or would soon likely reach, the end of a 30-year trend of declines, we outlined a view that the historically successful Canadian REIT model of higher leverage and higher payout ratios, driven by accretive acquisitions, would underperform relative to lower-leverage, lower payout-ratio, value-creation strategies in a flat or rising interest rate environment. In that report, we recommended that REITs focus on reducing payout ratios to below 80% of AFFO and improve EBITDA interest coverage to 3.0x or higher. We believe these metrics provide REITs with the flexibility to pursue value-creation strategies, including development, value-add acquisitions (i.e., partly occupied or under-leased properties), redevelopments and intensifications, among others.


Since 2007, we are pleased to see that many REITs have significantly improved payout ratios (down ~20%) and EBITDA interest coverage (up ~0.8x), and have outlined plans for significant value-creation strategies.

Exhibit 36: Continuing Members Of The S&P/TSX REIT Index

	EBITDA Interest Coverage		Forward AFFO Payout	
	Q4/07	Q1/20	Q4/07	Q1/20
AP.UN	2.8x	3.3x	84%	83%
AX.UN	2.4x	3.1x	106%	57%
BEI.UN	2.3x	2.8x	86%	51%
CAR.UN	1.9x	3.7x	105%	73%
CRR.UN	3.0x	3.0x	87%	82%
CUF.UN	2.9x	2.4x	90%	99%
SRU.UN	2.7x	3.5x	93%	87%
D.UN	2.4x	2.9x	109%	86%
HR.UN	2.3x	3.1x	94%	50%
NVU.UN	R	R	R	R
REI.UN	2.5x	3.5x	104%	92%
Average	2.5x	3.3x	96%	76%

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

On the cost of debt, Canadian REITs have enjoyed a mostly continuous period of declining interest rates since the first REITs were formed in the early 1990s. While the COVID-19 economy is looking to potentially be a recessionary one, and there is downward pressure on interest rates in the near term, we think further declines in interest rates will likely be more limited and would, therefore, not expect declining interest rates to provide a comparable tailwind to what we have witnessed in the past (although there is a distinct possibility that favourable debt rolls may continue for the next few years).

Exhibit 37: Bond Yields Don't Have Much Further To Fall?


Source: Bloomberg and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Property Fundamentals

Retail – A Bifurcated Market

There is certainly truth to the fact that the retail operating environment is under pressure (even with COVID-19 aside), with e-commerce and high-profile department store bankruptcies impacting performance. With that said, we reiterate our view that such environment is bifurcated, with low-quality assets (especially in secondary markets) bearing a disproportionate share of operational risk. Indeed, a number of the retail REITs under our coverage have long understood this notion, and have actively undertaken strategic initiatives with a focus on curating a higher-quality and more resilient portfolio. We look to leasing trends as a general indicator of landlord pricing power, and would note that 2019 represented an inflection point in what was previously deemed a declining trend. It remains to be seen what the ultimate impact of the COVID-19 situation will be on leasing spreads; Q1/20 showed no indication of a deterioration in renewed rents, but at the same time captured only a few weeks of the impact of the pandemic.

Exhibit 38: Retail Leasing Spreads Have Rebounded Recently



Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

With respect to COVID-19, we would note that retail real estate is arguably the most visibly impacted by a pandemic-engendered shutdown (hotels aside). Indeed, the government's initial edict to close all non-essential business put these tenants in a precarious position. At this time, most provinces have already significantly loosened their restrictions on retailers.

Retail rent collections have been a particularly topical theme over the past few months. To this end, we note that given the contractual nature of commercial leases, tenants can't just stop paying rent, even in extreme circumstances. In situations where relief has been granted, landlords have primarily entered into deferral agreements that would allow relief during the "shutdown" period, with the rent effectively converting to a tenant receivable to be collected at such time as things are back to "normal." It is important to distinguish between a deferral and an outright rent abatement.

We generally believe that it is the smaller retailers that are at the highest risk of requiring rent assistance. To this end, the federal government announced in late April that it is providing rent relief through the "Canada Emergency Commercial Rent Assistance" program. In late May, (much needed) further details on the application process were provided.

The program, which is voluntary for landlords, provides rent relief to small businesses for the months of April, May, and June (retroactively where applicable). The program can be broken down as follows:

1. The rent payable by small business tenants is reduced to 0-25% of the contractual rent.
2. The government pays 50% of the contractual rent.
3. As a result, the landlord will receive 50%-75% of the contractual rent.

Given that this program is indeed voluntary, we would generally expect the landlord to engage in this program upon evaluation that the tenant is not otherwise able to service its contractual obligation (but only time will tell on this front).


Multi-family: As Defensive As They Come

While perhaps not as visibly impacted by COVID-19 as the retail sector on the face of it, the multi-family REITs face another unique set of challenges in the current environment. Recently enacted changes have prohibited landlords from commencing eviction proceedings for renters who have fallen behind on their rent for the effective duration of the crisis. As a positive counter, CHMC has also indicated that landlords who don't commence eviction proceedings will be able to defer interest payments – there's a pragmatic recognition here that we are all going to share in the solution in one form or another. To the extent that rent deferral is an accounting entry vs. a negative cash flow (i.e., that rent owing is not forgiven but becomes a tenant receivable), then the issue of liquidity comes into question and the percentage of renters who find themselves in a stressed situation directly impacts the amount and extent that the balance sheet has to carry the load (we'd note that apartment REITs are among the most conservatively capitalized).

Looking through the COVID-19 situation, we would further note that if oncoming supply is insufficient to restore balance to the GTHA (Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area) market, rental rate growth within the region is likely to be among the highest in the country (although likely not as high as pre-COVID-19 levels). In addition, given the rising costs of development (i.e., government charges + land costs), the bulk of new supply that does come to this market will by necessity require a higher-than-average rent/sq. ft. Consequently, the supply/demand gap is likely to remain most prominent for the more affordable in situ rental stock.

With that said, we believe that the largest unanswered question is the ultimate impact of COVID-19 on immigration (even post the pandemic), as a significant slowing of immigration demand could ultimately result in a narrowing of the large mark-to-market opportunity that is present in a number of key Canadian markets. In the exhibit below, we summarize market expectations of 2020 rental rate growth by municipality (as forecast by Rentals.ca and Bullpen Research & Consulting before the COVID-19 situation).

Exhibit 39: Overview Of 2020 Rent Growth Forecasts



Source: Rentals.ca, Bullpen Research & Consulting, and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Importantly, the rent growth achievable by Ontario-centric REITs is, of course, impacted by rental legislation, which caps rent increases to 2.2% on lease renewals (note that residential

REITs across Canada have paused rental increases through the crisis, but these should resume thereafter). Said differently, the gain-to-lease opportunity is only crystalized on suite turnover, which continues to grind lower (and indeed this trend has been temporarily compounded by the eviction moratorium). For context, we believe that suite turnover in the core GTHA market was in the mid- to high teens pre-COVID. Indeed, we believe that there is room for turnover to slow further still, although at some admittedly unknown point (somewhere in the low teens?), turnover becomes structural and should find a floor (i.e., some turn is by necessity, such as those households moving to another country).


Office – Fundamentals Starting From A Strong Base Despite Supply Under Construction

Several commercial office landlords expect the COVID-19 crisis to have a lasting impact on how employees interact with their office space, workplace layouts, and other office trends, including telecommuting. A number of technology firms, including Twitter and Shopify, have also made headlines by shifting towards more permanent work-from-home arrangements for their employees. While the ultimate net effect on office demand once the pandemic subsides remains opaque, arguments on both sides have emerged, including higher adoption of telecommuting and elimination of common areas to be weighed against greater distancing requirements in the office and employee demands for more personal workspace. However, the issue of supply continues to cast a shadow over the sector and, judging by the movement of cranes in the skies, the delivery of new office space this year across major markets remains inexorable. Weighed against these supply concerns and potential impact from COVID-19 is the fact that office vacancy rates entering this crisis were at historically low levels in Vancouver and the GTA.


For office landlords, rent deferrals thus far have been limited to smaller tenants or storefront retail shops at the ground level of office buildings. The government is committed to helping small businesses and the CECRA program could provide support to smaller tenants on a case-by-case basis. We anticipate receiving additional updates from commercial landlords on the net impact in coming months.

Fundamentals – Elevated New Supply In Toronto And Vancouver: At Q2/20, downtown vacancy rates were 2.7% and 3.3% for the GTA and Vancouver, respectively, an increase off record lows but remaining well below historical averages. In Toronto, rents were flat from last year, while in downtown Vancouver, Y/Y rent growth was +1.4%, following nine consecutive quarters of double-digit % rent growth. New supply under construction remains elevated in both cities at ~10% of total inventory in the GTA and ~15.5% in Vancouver.

Exhibit 40: Downtown Office Fundamentals (Q2/20)



Source: CBRE and CIBC World Markets Inc.


Exhibit 41: Suburban Office Fundamentals (Q2/20)

Source: CBRE and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Industrial – Strong Fundamentals To Be Tested

The COVID-19 crisis is anticipated to disproportionately affect more traditional retailers (lacking an online presence) and their corresponding supply chains, in addition to smaller bay product with a skew towards smaller businesses. Compared to the last crisis, we expect e-commerce to be a material demand factor for industrial real estate with a shift in consumption towards contactless delivery, which should mitigate softening fundamentals for other industrial tenants. As viable businesses abandon brick-and-mortar locations either temporarily or more permanently in lieu of direct deliveries or curbside pick-up locations, we expect warehouse demand to remain buoyant and the sector could outperform other real estate asset classes. By region, we anticipate that Western Canada and geographies with energy market exposure will underperform given the collapse in energy prices.

Industrial availability was tight across Canada for the last reported quarter prior to the full impact of COVID-19. At Q2/20, national vacancy increased ~40 bps sequentially to ~3.5%, but remains below the Canadian 10-year average of 5.1%. Rent growth continues to be robust, and, on average, rents across the country grew 10.0% from a year ago, led by +18.7% in Toronto. Among other major markets, Montreal has also returned strong rent growth of +16.7%. New supply on a national basis has been ticking up, but remains restrained at ~1.1% of total inventory on a national level.

Exhibit 42: Canadian Industrial Fundamentals (Q2/20)

Source: CBRE and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 43: Canadian Office And Industrial Space Vacancies


	Q2/17	Q3/17	Q4/17	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19	Q2/19	Q3/19	Q4/19	Q1/20	Q2/20
Downtown Office													
Toronto	3.8%	4.0%	3.7%	2.9%	2.9%	3.1%	2.7%	2.6%	2.6%	2.3%	2.2%	2.0%	2.7%
Montreal	9.4%	8.7%	9.7%	9.9%	9.6%	9.5%	9.4%	8.6%	7.5%	7.5%	7.6%	6.3%	7.3%
Calgary	27.7%	27.4%	27.7%	27.5%	27.8%	27.3%	26.4%	26.5%	26.1%	26.6%	27.2%	26.5%	27.0%
Edmonton	20.6%	20.3%	18.7%	16.9%	15.7%	16.5%	18.2%	18.4%	19.1%	20.4%	20.1%	19.2%	19.7%
Ottawa	9.0%	9.5%	9.5%	8.8%	8.7%	7.5%	7.4%	7.7%	7.4%	7.1%	6.5%	6.9%	7.7%
Vancouver	6.9%	5.0%	5.0%	4.7%	4.7%	4.4%	3.8%	2.7%	2.6%	2.4%	2.3%	2.2%	3.3%
National	11.4%	11.1%	11.1%	10.7%	10.7%	10.6%	10.3%	10.1%	9.8%	9.8%	9.8%	9.4%	10.0%
Suburban Office													
Toronto	12.9%	13.8%	14.3%	13.7%	14.2%	13.8%	13.2%	12.2%	12.9%	11.9%	11.8%	11.0%	11.6%
Montreal	16.8%	15.5%	17.5%	17.1%	18.0%	18.1%	18.0%	17.4%	16.7%	16.2%	15.3%	13.6%	13.9%
Calgary	22.0%	21.4%	22.3%	22.3%	23.2%	22.9%	20.9%	20.9%	21.5%	20.6%	20.5%	20.3%	20.2%
Edmonton	18.8%	18.8%	19.1%	18.9%	18.8%	18.7%	19.9%	19.2%	19.6%	19.7%	18.6%	19.9%	19.8%
Ottawa	12.4%	12.2%	11.8%	11.0%	10.7%	9.9%	8.5%	7.3%	6.7%	7.0%	6.2%	6.4%	6.8%
Vancouver	13.0%	11.3%	9.6%	8.1%	7.5%	7.0%	7.4%	6.7%	6.1%	5.2%	5.2%	5.6%	6.0%
National	15.1%	15.0%	15.3%	14.8%	15.1%	14.7%	13.8%	13.1%	13.1%	12.6%	12.2%	11.6%	11.9%
Industrial													
Toronto	2.7%	2.3%	2.2%	2.1%	2.2%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%	1.5%	1.2%	1.4%	1.6%	2.0%
Montreal	6.9%	6.2%	6.3%	5.6%	5.3%	4.6%	3.6%	3.7%	3.2%	2.8%	2.7%	2.6%	2.6%
Calgary	9.3%	8.5%	8.2%	7.9%	8.1%	7.0%	8.2%	7.8%	8.4%	8.7%	8.8%	8.7%	9.7%
Edmonton	8.5%	8.1%	7.6%	8.0%	7.5%	7.6%	7.9%	7.7%	8.2%	8.0%	8.2%	8.1%	8.7%
Ottawa	4.8%	4.8%	4.6%	4.3%	4.0%	3.4%	2.7%	2.2%	2.4%	2.3%	2.8%	3.4%	3.3%
Vancouver	3.0%	2.6%	2.3%	2.3%	2.4%	2.3%	2.3%	1.9%	2.1%	2.5%	2.4%	2.1%	2.9%
National	4.7%	4.3%	4.1%	4.0%	3.9%	3.3%	3.2%	3.0%	3.1%	2.9%	3.0%	3.1%	3.5%

Source: CBRE and CIBC World Markets Inc.


Exhibit 44: Office And Industrial Average Net Asking Rents (Per Sq. Ft.)

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2018	2019	Q1/20	Q2/20	%Gain	
												5 Yr.	10 Yr.
National													
Office Downtown	\$23.82	\$23.65	\$25.28	\$25.68	\$25.16	\$25.00	\$22.64	\$21.68	\$22.08	\$22.11	\$21.96	(3.4%)	(1.0%)
Office Suburban	\$17.18	\$17.08	\$17.68	\$17.38	\$18.77	\$18.42	\$18.50	\$17.75	\$17.62	\$17.59	\$17.87	(0.8%)	0.2%
Industrial	\$5.39	\$5.36	\$5.54	\$5.99	\$6.06	\$6.47	\$6.60	\$7.72	\$8.69	\$8.94	\$9.17	7.9%	5.3%
Vancouver													
Office Downtown	\$30.79	\$34.13	\$34.66	\$33.12	\$31.77	\$32.75	\$30.25	\$37.20	\$44.98	\$46.24	\$44.62	5.7%	2.7%
Office Suburban	\$20.23	\$20.46	\$20.78	\$20.01	\$23.44	\$23.30	\$23.93	\$23.82	\$23.89	\$24.00	\$24.44	1.1%	1.6%
Industrial	\$7.53	\$7.44	\$7.60	\$7.96	\$8.08	\$8.46	\$9.00	\$11.86	\$13.15	\$13.65	\$13.59	10.4%	5.8%
Calgary													
Office Downtown	\$28.06	\$33.35	\$40.58	\$36.76	\$31.87	\$23.74	\$19.19	\$15.88	\$17.11	\$17.51	\$17.54	(8.8%)	(4.6%)
Office Suburban	\$19.90	\$20.48	\$24.30	\$24.51	\$25.59	\$22.90	\$20.75	\$19.31	\$19.54	\$19.48	\$19.74	(3.3%)	0.1%
Industrial	\$7.71	\$8.10	\$8.05	\$8.10	\$8.40	\$7.35	\$7.08	\$7.54	\$7.91	\$7.94	\$7.94	0.4%	0.6%
Edmonton													
Office Downtown	\$21.48	\$20.56	\$24.54	\$24.28	\$22.03	\$23.97	\$23.43	\$20.55	\$21.86	\$21.74	\$21.16	(2.7%)	(0.8%)
Office Suburban	\$16.82	\$18.05	\$19.91	\$21.27	\$19.90	\$20.91	\$20.11	\$19.64	\$18.49	\$17.94	\$18.09	(3.5%)	(0.5%)
Industrial	\$8.55	\$9.03	\$9.37	\$10.79	\$11.08	\$11.17	\$9.51	\$9.52	\$10.03	\$10.06	\$10.28	(1.9%)	1.9%
Toronto													
Office Downtown	\$24.39	\$25.26	\$27.03	\$27.09	\$28.41	\$28.94	\$27.98	\$35.37	\$35.30	\$36.91	\$35.38	3.5%	3.8%
Office Suburban	\$16.52	\$16.25	\$16.91	\$16.56	\$17.09	\$16.97	\$17.73	\$17.56	\$17.63	\$17.24	\$17.50	0.2%	0.4%
Industrial	\$4.71	\$4.55	\$4.63	\$5.04	\$5.11	\$5.41	\$5.98	\$7.15	\$8.62	\$9.56	\$9.71	12.9%	7.3%
Ottawa													
Office Downtown	\$25.46	\$26.45	\$26.31	\$24.91	\$24.20	\$22.93	\$23.12	\$23.81	\$24.05	\$23.96	\$23.18	(0.0%)	(0.6%)
Office Suburban	\$14.80	\$15.79	\$13.87	\$14.07	\$16.51	\$16.41	\$16.67	\$16.03	\$15.67	\$15.57	\$16.07	(0.2%)	1.1%
Industrial	\$7.68	\$7.68	\$8.51	\$8.53	\$8.83	\$8.62	\$9.17	\$10.10	\$10.60	\$11.45	\$10.41	3.7%	3.2%
Montreal													
Office Downtown	\$20.64	\$21.94	\$21.41	\$21.71	\$22.36	\$22.24	\$21.21	\$22.76	\$24.57	\$24.07	\$24.37	2.1%	1.6%
Office Suburban	\$14.50	\$14.54	\$14.57	\$14.52	\$15.30	\$15.41	\$15.63	\$14.82	\$14.86	\$15.66	\$15.81	0.7%	1.1%
Industrial	\$4.95	\$5.00	\$5.04	\$5.17	\$5.19	\$5.33	\$5.29	\$5.91	\$6.59	\$7.03	\$7.14	6.0%	3.6%

Source: CBRE and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 45: Historical National Vacancy

Source: CBRE and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 46: Historical National Net Asking Rents


Source: CBRE and CIBC World Markets Inc.

U.S. Industrial: Similar to the environment in Canada, the pandemic is generally expected to create winners and losers within the industrial tenant rent-roll. Headlines of rising employment at e-commerce warehouses suggest that companies with omni-channel presence and a direct-to-consumer set-up will continue to operate and should continue to drive industrial real estate demand. Discussions with industry brokers have suggested that smaller bay tenants could face financial stress and consequently affect multi-tenant spaces (delivery operations generally require more capex and a larger footprint that small businesses cannot afford). Nonetheless, additional supply deliveries could add another wrinkle to the fundamental picture this year and, thus far, it appears that the continued streak of strong rent growth has come to a pause since the crisis has begun. We expect fundamental strength to be more tempered but could outperform other real estate asset classes in 2020.

Although availability rose sequentially to 4.9% in Q1/20, the market remains near an all-time low of 4.8%. Other indicators, however, show a softening in market dynamics, with a deceleration in rent growth from a range above 5% over the last two years to +1%.

New supply has been on a rising trend and stands at 2.2% of inventory, which is near medium-term averages; however, new deliveries could outpace tenant demand this year. Over the past five years, market absorption has averaged ~0.3% of total inventory per quarter, which compares to the expected quarterly delivery cycle of ~0.4% to ~0.6% of inventory.

Exhibit 47: U.S. Industrial Fundamentals (Q1/20)



Source: Cushman & Wakefield and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Seniors Housing


We expect the COVID-19 crisis to negatively weigh on demand trends and occupancy for private seniors housing properties in the near term, while demographic trends and the necessity-driven demand of services should continue to drive growth in the long term. Costs for staff and personal protection equipment have been temporarily elevated, impacting operator profits. The government has enacted several measures to support the sector and help with the additional costs of fighting the virus. In Ontario so far, \$243MM has been allocated to the long-term care sector (including surge capacity planning) and \$20MM for retirement homes; in British Columbia, \$10MM has been allocated to assisted living and long-term care residences; and, in Quebec, various initiatives include funding for temporary pay increases to healthcare workers, amounting to \$410MM.

In mid-March, the Ontario Ministry of Health advised that seniors housing residences were to allow only essential visitors – people visiting residents who are very ill or require end-of-life care. This measure has been negatively affecting retirement home property occupancy by limiting touring activity and deferring move-ins. The average length of stay at an IL/ISL home is typically three to five years (at the upper end in pure independent living), which implies that ~2% to 3% of residents move out per month. We would note that a high proportion of

move-outs involve the transfer of a retirement home resident to a long-term care home, but under the current circumstances, move-outs to LTC are not taking place and residents are remaining in the retirement home, stemming this element of natural occupancy decline. In June 2020, certain retirement homes were allowed to reopen and conduct prospective tenant visits and property tours.


New deliveries were expected to lead to a modest occupancy decline in 2020 with an uneven distribution of results across provinces, and according to CMHC, occupancy slipped ~10 bps in 2020 even though the capture rent improved to 9.2%. However, operators have been historically reluctant to concede rate even during a market downturn, and rent growth was positive across all major regions over the past year.

Exhibit 48: CMHC Retirement Housing Vacancy



Source: CMHC and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 49: CMHC Retirement Housing Rent Growth



Source: CMHC and CIBC World Markets Inc.

For government-funded long-term care, full reimbursement is provided as long as occupancy remains above 97%, and given the long waiting list for this essential care, we expect full funding to continue. We highlight that the long-term care sector has been faced with negative headlines in recent weeks, including a report from the Canadian military of sub-standard care at a number of LTC homes, in addition to class action lawsuit proposals, which have weighed on investor sentiment. Our read of the situation does not suggest a pattern of poor operatorship. Sienna, in particular, has a history of outperforming provincial averages on health care quality measures. The most impacted homes we believe faced staffing availability issues and physical structure limitations. With respect to staffing, we understand that infected staff and fearful staff not showing up to work have put tremendous pressure on the remaining employees to try and maintain care levels – the resident population does not shrink if staff is not present. We also believe that some of the hardest-hit properties are Class C, which feature multiple residents per room and limited space to permit physical distancing, creating an environment for the disease to more easily spread.

The Ontario government is launching an independent commission into the long-term care sector's response during COVID-19. It is still early and, with the issue being highly politicized, it is currently challenging to predict what the government's ultimate response may be toward the LTC sector. We would expect that as partners in providing care to seniors, an amicable solution can be found. We think that acceleration of the redevelopment of Class C properties is likely to occur. With many uncertainties, we would expect sentiment to remain cautious toward the LTC sector until a more definitive government response is available.

Summary Of Current Ratings

Exhibit 50: Current Ratings

Outperformer	Neutral	Underperformer	Restricted
Allied Properties REIT	AHIP REIT		Northview Apartment REIT
Automotive Properties REIT	Artis REIT		
Brookfield Asset Management	Boardwalk REIT		
Brookfield Property Partners	Canadian Apartment REIT		
BSR REIT	Choice Properties REIT		
Chartwell Retirement Residences	CT REIT		
Colliers International	Dream Office REIT		
Cominar REIT	First Capital REIT		
Crombie REIT	Extencare Inc.		
Dream Industrial REIT	InterRent REIT		
Dream Unlimited	Invesque Inc.		
European Residential REIT	NorthWest Healthcare Properties		
Granite REIT	Melcor REIT		
H&R REIT	Morguard REIT		
Killam Apartment REIT	Plaza Retail REIT		
Minto Apartment REIT	Slate Office REIT		
Morguard Corp.	Slate Retail REIT		
Morguard North American REIT	Summit Industrial Income REIT		
RioCan REIT	True North Commercial REIT		
Sienna Senior Living REIT			
SmartCentres REIT			
Tricon Capital Group Inc.			
WPT Industrial REIT			

Source: CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 51: Canadian Large-capitalization REITs

		Mkt. Cap.	Last Price	2020E FD FFO		2021E FD FFO		P/FFO		Dividend/Dist.		2020E FFO
Ticker	Name	(\$millions)	6/26/2020	Per Share	% Change	Per Share	% Change	2020E	2021E	Indicated	Yield	Payout
Canadian Shopping Centre REITs												
REI.UN	RioCan REIT	\$4,785	\$15.06	\$1.72	(8.0%)	\$1.76	2.3%	8.8x	8.6x	\$1.44	9.6%	84%
SRU.UN	SmartCentres REIT	\$3,555	\$20.61	\$2.24	(1.8%)	\$2.38	6.2%	9.2x	8.7x	\$1.85	9.0%	83%
CRR.UN	Crombie REIT	\$2,016	\$12.75	\$1.11	(4.3%)	\$1.17	5.4%	11.5x	10.9x	\$0.89	7.0%	80%
CHP.UN	Choice Properties	\$8,685	\$12.40	\$0.94	(5.1%)	\$1.00	6.4%	13.2x	12.4x	\$0.74	6.0%	79%
CRT.UN	CT REIT	\$3,094	\$13.54	\$1.16	(1.7%)	\$1.19	2.6%	11.7x	11.4x	\$0.79	5.8%	68%
FCR.UN	First Capital REIT	\$3,009	\$13.79	\$1.09	(11.4%)	\$1.16	6.4%	12.7x	11.9x	\$0.86	6.2%	79%
Average		\$25,143	(Total)		(5.4%)		4.9%	11.2x	10.6x		7.3%	79%
Apartment REITs												
BEI.UN	Boardwalk REIT	\$1,442	\$28.28	\$2.50	(2.7%)	\$2.79	11.6%	11.3x	10.1x	\$1.00	3.5%	40%
CAR.UN	CAP REIT	\$8,239	\$48.18	\$2.22	4.2%	\$2.32	4.5%	21.7x	20.8x	\$1.38	2.9%	62%
NVU.UN	Northview REIT	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Average		\$12,008	(Total)		2.5%		7.5%	16.3x	15.3x		3.7%	59%
Canadian Diversified Commercial (Office/Industrial/Retail)												
BPY	Brookfield Property Partners*	\$9,051	\$9.65	\$1.19	(15.6%)	\$1.45	21.8%	8.1x	6.7x	\$1.33	13.8%	112%
HR.UN	H&R REIT	\$2,906	\$9.62	\$1.65	(5.7%)	\$1.78	7.9%	5.8x	5.4x	\$0.69	7.2%	42%
AX.UN	Artis REIT	\$1,019	\$7.49	\$1.29	(8.5%)	\$1.31	1.6%	5.8x	5.7x	\$0.54	7.2%	42%
MRT.UN	Morguard REIT	\$311	\$5.13	\$1.28	(10.5%)	\$1.28	0.0%	4.0x	4.0x	\$0.48	9.4%	38%
AP.UN	Allied Properties REIT	\$5,058	\$41.12	\$2.37	4.9%	\$2.51	5.9%	17.4x	16.4x	\$1.65	4.0%	70%
CUF.UN	Cominar REIT	\$1,452	\$7.96	\$0.97	(9.3%)	\$1.06	9.3%	8.2x	7.5x	\$0.72	9.0%	74%
D.UN	Dream Office REIT	\$1,214	\$19.97	\$1.57	(7.6%)	\$1.70	8.3%	12.7x	11.7x	\$1.00	5.0%	64%
Average		\$21,012	(Total)		(7.5%)		7.8%	8.9x	8.2x		7.9%	63%
Overall Average – Canada		\$58,163	(Total)		(4.8%)		6.7%	11.1x	10.4x		6.9%	68%
Government Of Canada 10-year Bond											0.51%	
Canada REIT Yield Spread											+638 bps	

*FFO payout ratio does not include realized LP gains - payout ratio would be significantly lower after including realized gains

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 52: U.S. Large-capitalization REITs

		Mkt. Cap.	Last Price	2020E FD FFO		2021E FD FFO		P/FFO		Dividend/Dist.		2020E FFO
Ticker	Name	(\$millions)	6/26/2020	Per Share	% Change	Per Share	% Change	2020E	2021E	Indicated	Yield	Payout
U.S. Shopping Centre REITs												
FRT	Federal Realty Invs Trust	\$6,260	\$82.77	\$5.56	(10.0%)	\$5.85	5.4%	14.9x	14.1x	\$4.20	5.1%	76%
KIM	Kimco Realty Corp	\$5,415	\$12.52	\$1.28	(11.5%)	\$1.36	6.6%	9.8x	9.2x	\$0.00	0.0%	0%
REG	Regency Centers Corp	\$7,547	\$44.49	\$3.51	(9.8%)	\$3.70	5.4%	12.7x	12.0x	\$2.38	5.3%	68%
SITC	SITE Centers Corp	\$1,435	\$7.43	\$1.00	(21.6%)	\$1.01	1.1%	7.5x	7.4x	\$0.00	0.0%	0%
SKT	Tanger Factory Outlet Center	\$634	\$6.78	\$1.61	(29.3%)	\$1.57	(2.3%)	4.2x	4.3x	\$0.00	0.0%	0%
WRI	Weingarten Realty Investors	\$2,325	\$18.15	\$1.78	(15.1%)	\$1.90	6.7%	10.2x	9.5x	\$0.72	4.0%	40%
SPG	Simon Property Group Inc.	\$18,926	\$61.90	\$10.48	(13.0%)	\$10.67	1.8%	5.9x	5.8x	\$8.35	13.5%	80%
MAC	Macerich Co/The	\$1,139	\$8.05	\$2.78	(30.4%)	\$2.59	(6.8%)	2.9x	3.1x	\$2.00	24.8%	72%
TCO	Taubman Centers Inc.	\$2,355	\$38.23	\$2.80	(20.0%)	\$2.78	(0.6%)	13.7x	13.7x	\$0.00	0.0%	0%
Average		\$46,036	(Total)		(17.9%)		1.9%	9.1x	8.8x		5.9%	37%
U.S. Apartment REITs												
AVB	Avalonbay Communities Inc.	\$21,304	\$151.38	\$9.18	(1.7%)	\$9.35	1.9%	16.5x	16.2x	\$6.36	4.2%	69%
ESS	Essex Property Trust Inc.	\$14,705	\$224.79	\$13.33	(0.4%)	\$13.62	2.1%	16.9x	16.5x	\$8.31	3.7%	62%
ACC	American Campus Communities	\$4,775	\$34.70	\$2.15	(11.1%)	\$2.39	11.1%	16.1x	14.5x	\$1.88	5.4%	87%
CPT	Camden Property Trust	\$8,637	\$88.69	\$4.96	(1.5%)	\$5.19	4.5%	17.9x	17.1x	\$3.32	3.7%	67%
EQR	Equity Residential	\$21,211	\$57.00	\$3.33	(4.5%)	\$3.39	1.9%	17.1x	16.8x	\$2.41	4.2%	72%
MAA	Mid-America Apartment Comm	\$12,610	\$110.27	\$6.00	(8.4%)	\$6.31	5.2%	18.4x	17.5x	\$4.00	3.6%	67%
UDR	UDR Inc.	\$10,731	\$36.39	\$2.11	1.2%	\$2.13	1.1%	17.3x	17.1x	\$1.44	4.0%	68%
Average		\$93,972	(Total)		(3.8%)		4.0%	17.2x	16.5x		4.1%	70%
U.S. Office REITs												
SLG	SL Green Realty Corp	\$3,579	\$46.76	\$6.79	(3.0%)	\$6.77	(0.3%)	6.9x	6.9x	\$3.54	7.6%	52%
BXP	Boston Properties Inc.	\$13,554	\$87.24	\$7.16	2.2%	\$7.49	4.6%	12.2x	11.6x	\$3.92	4.5%	55%
VNO	Vornado Realty Trust	\$7,002	\$36.64	\$2.97	(43.4%)	\$3.15	6.0%	12.3x	11.6x	\$2.64	7.2%	89%
CLI	Mack-Cali Realty Corp	\$1,365	\$15.07	\$1.23	(23.8%)	\$1.07	(13.1%)	12.2x	14.1x	\$0.80	5.3%	65%
BDN	Brandywine Realty Trust	\$1,846	\$10.83	\$1.38	(3.4%)	\$1.41	2.2%	7.8x	7.7x	\$0.76	7.0%	55%
EQC	Equity Commonwealth	\$3,849	\$31.68	\$0.35	(51.9%)	\$0.31	(10.5%)	90.1x	100.7x	\$0.00	0.0%	0%
Average		\$31,197	(Total)		(20.6%)		(1.9%)	23.6x	25.4x		5.3%	53%
U.S. Industrial/Warehouse REITs												
PLD	Prologis	\$66,347	\$89.83	\$3.60	8.8%	\$3.71	3.1%	24.9x	24.2x	\$2.32	2.6%	64%
EGP	Eastgroup Properties Inc.	\$4,442	\$113.73	\$5.18	4.1%	\$5.39	4.0%	21.9x	21.1x	\$3.00	2.6%	58%
FR	First Industrial Realty Trust	\$4,780	\$37.58	\$1.78	2.5%	\$1.83	2.5%	21.1x	20.6x	\$1.00	2.7%	56%
Average		\$75,569	(Total)		5.1%		3.2%	22.6x	21.9x		2.6%	59%
Overall Average – U.S.		\$246,774	(Total)		(11.8%)		1.7%	16.5x	16.5x		4.8%	53%
10-year U.S. Treasury											0.63%	
U.S. REIT Yield Spread											+422 bps	

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 53: Tax Allocation Of 2019 REIT Distributions

		2019 Distributions (\$)			2019 Breakdown Of Distributions (%)						
		Return Of				Non-		Foreign Non-		Return Of	
REIT	Ticker	Taxable	Capital	Total	Eligible Dividend	Eligible Dividend	Other Income	Capital Gain	Business Income	Taxable	Capital
Allied Properties REIT	AP.UN	\$0.45	\$1.15	\$1.60	-	-	28.1%	-	-	28.1%	71.9%
Automotive Properties REIT	APR.UN	\$0.18	\$0.63	\$0.80	-	-	22.0%	-	-	22.0%	78.0%
AHIP (\$CAD Listing)	HOT.UN	\$0.07	\$0.79	\$0.86	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	7.9%	92.1%
Artis REIT	AX.UN	\$0.33	\$0.21	\$0.54	-	-	22.5%	-	38.6%	61.1%	38.9%
Boardwalk REIT	BEI.UN	\$0.91	\$0.09	\$1.00	-	-	35.6%	55.7%	-	91.3%	8.7%
BSR REIT	HOM.U	\$0.00	\$0.50	\$0.50	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	100.0%
Canadian Apartment Properties REIT	CAR.UN	\$0.40	\$0.97	\$1.37	0.1%	-	29.2%	-	-	29.3%	70.7%
Choice Properties REIT	CHP.UN	\$0.81	\$0.00	\$0.81	-	-	86.6%	12.9%	0.5%	100.0%	-
Crombie REIT	CRR.UN	\$0.89	\$0.00	\$0.89	-	-	55.8%	44.2%	-	100.0%	-
Chartwell Retirement Residences	CSH.UN	\$0.00	\$0.60	\$0.60	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	100.0%
CT REIT	CRT.UN	\$0.65	\$0.11	\$0.76	-	-	84.7%	0.3%	-	85.0%	15.0%
Cominar REIT	CUF.UN	\$0.64	\$0.08	\$0.72	0.5%	-	28.9%	60.0%	-	89.4%	10.7%
Dream Office REIT	D.UN	\$0.01	\$0.99	\$1.00	-	-	1.0%	-	-	1.0%	99.0%
Dream Industrial REIT	DIR.UN	\$0.57	\$0.13	\$0.70	-	-	47.6%	11.2%	23.1%	81.9%	18.1%
European Residential REIT	ERE.UN	€ 0.25	€ 0.16	€ 0.41	-	-	61.0%	-	-	61.0%	39.0%
Granite REIT	GRT.UN	\$2.67	\$0.14	\$2.81	0.1%	-	18.7%	-	76.3%	95.0%	5.0%
H&R REIT	HR.UN	\$1.38	\$0.00	\$1.38	-	-	31.3%	44.7%	24.0%	100.0%	0.0%
InterRent REIT	IIP.UN	\$0.00	\$0.29	\$0.29	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	100.0%
Killam Apartment REIT	KMP.UN	\$0.32	\$0.33	\$0.66	21.8%	-	27.3%	-	-	49.1%	50.9%
Melcor REIT	MR.UN	\$0.20	\$0.47	\$0.68	-	-	30.0%	-	-	30.0%	70.0%
Minto REIT	MI.UN	\$0.23	\$0.19	\$0.42	-	-	55.5%	-	-	55.5%	44.5%
Morguard NA Residential REIT	MRG.UN	\$0.15	\$0.54	\$0.68	-	-	21.6%	-	-	21.6%	78.4%
Morguard REIT	MRT.UN	\$0.56	\$0.40	\$0.96	-	-	58.5%	-	-	58.5%	41.5%
Northview Apartment REIT	NVU.UN	\$0.36	\$1.27	\$1.63	-	-	21.0%	0.8%	-	21.8%	78.2%
NorthWest Healthcare Prop. REIT	NWH.UN	\$0.40	\$0.40	\$0.80	-	-	-	-	49.4%	49.4%	50.6%
Plaza Retail REIT	PLZ.UN	\$0.14	\$0.14	\$0.28	-	17.6%	33.3%	-	-	51.0%	49.0%
RioCan REIT	REI.UN	\$1.44	\$0.00	\$1.44	-	-	75.6%	24.4%	-	100.0%	0.0%
Summit Industrial Income REIT	SMU.UN	\$0.51	\$0.09	\$0.60	-	-	3.6%	81.2%	-	84.8%	15.2%
Slate Office REIT	SOT.UN	\$0.11	\$0.35	\$0.46	-	-	-	23.0%	-	23.0%	77.0%
Slate Retail REIT	SRT.UN	\$0.55	\$0.30	\$0.86	-	-	-	11.6%	53.2%	64.8%	35.2%
SmartCentres REIT	SRU.UN	\$1.44	\$0.37	\$1.81	-	-	73.5%	5.9%	-	79.4%	20.6%
True North Commercial REIT	TNT.UN	\$0.00	\$0.59	\$0.59	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	100.0%
WPT Industrial REIT	WIR.U	\$0.31	\$0.45	\$0.76	-	-	-	-	40.4%	40.4%	59.6%

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 54: REIT Debt Profiles (As Of Q1/20)

REIT	Total	Debt/	Int. Cov.	Short Term*		Long Term*		Conv. Debt		Avg. Term	Avg. Int.	Long-term Debt Maturities (Mortgages* & Unsecured Debentures)												
	Debt	GBV		(\$ MM)	% Tot.	(\$ MM)	% Tot.	(\$ MM)	Due (Years)	(Years)	Rate	2020			2021			2022			2023			
	(\$ MM)	Assets										%	%	%	%	%	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate		
Shopping Centre																								
RioCan	6,593	43%	3.5x	979	14.8%	5,615	85.2%	-	-	3.9	3.3%	730	11.1%	3.2%	904	13.7%	3.4%	744	11.3%	3.3%	797	12.1%	3.4%	
SmartCentres REIT	4,753	43%	3.5x	620	13.0%	4,133	87.0%	-	-	4.8	3.4%	354	7.4%	4.9%	527	11.1%	3.2%	561	11.8%	3.3%	379	8.0%	4.2%	
First Capital REIT	4,945	47%	2.3x	471	9.5%	4,474	90.5%	-	-	4.9	4.0%	242	4.9%	5.5%	277	5.6%	4.7%	575	11.6%	4.3%	330	6.7%	4.0%	
Crombie	2,346	50%	3.2x	229	9.7%	2,117	90.3%	-	-	4.2	4.1%	89	3.8%	4.3%	374	16.0%	4.1%	344	14.7%	4.2%	267	11.4%	4.3%	
Choice Properties	6,563	44%	3.6x	512	7.8%	6,051	92.2%	-	-	6.1	3.8%	261	4.0%	4.0%	737	11.2%	3.5%	826	12.6%	3.6%	684	10.4%	3.9%	
CT REIT	2,589	43%	3.4x	252	9.7%	2,337	90.3%	-	-	7.7	4.1%	252	9.7%	4.1%	150	5.8%	2.2%	159	6.2%	2.9%	56	2.2%	3.4%	
Diversified (Office/Retail/Industrial)																								
Brookfield Property Partners	54,940	55%	NA	9,185	16.7%	45,755	83.3%	-	-	4.6	3.9%	3,169	5.8%	3.8%	6,390	11.6%	4.0%	4,452	8.1%	3.9%	6,272	11.4%	3.8%	
H&R REIT	6,438	51%	3.3x	211	3.3%	6,227	96.7%	-	-	4.0	3.6%	159	2.5%	4.5%	958	14.9%	3.9%	932	14.5%	3.6%	705	10.9%	3.7%	
Cominar REIT	3,616	51%	2.4x	481	13.3%	3,135	86.7%	-	-	4.1	3.9%	481	13.3%	4.6%	460	12.7%	4.1%	457	12.6%	3.8%	329	9.1%	4.3%	
Artis REIT	2,748	53%	3.1x	747	27.2%	2,001	72.8%	-	-	2.2	3.7%	375	13.6%	3.6%	690	25.1%	3.4%	198	7.2%	3.7%	426	15.5%	3.7%	
Morguard REIT	1,340	48%	2.3x	339	25.3%	1,001	74.7%	171	'21	3.5	4.1%	150	11.2%	4.4%	344	25.7%	4.3%	172	12.8%	3.8%	208	15.5%	3.7%	
Melcor REIT	445	50%	2.4x	77	17.4%	368	82.6%	63	'22, '24	4.4	3.8%	48	10.7%	NA	54	12.1%	NA	58	13.0%	NA	57	12.9%	NA	
Office																								
Allied Properties	2,366	27%	3.3x	29	1.2%	2,337	98.8%	-	-	3.6	3.7%	4	0.2%	5.0%	200	8.5%	2.9%	361	15.2%	4.1%	277	11.7%	4.7%	
Dream Office	1,131	38%	3.0x	33	2.9%	1,098	97.1%	-	-	5.1	3.8%	15	1.3%	4.3%	107	9.4%	4.9%	192	17.0%	3.3%	140	12.4%	4.3%	
Slate Office	991	58%	2.2x	481	48.6%	510	51.4%	28	'23	2.8	3.9%	192	19.4%	3.1%	494	49.9%	3.6%	9	0.9%	0.0%	217	21.9%	3.7%	
True North Commercial	817	58%	3.0x	46	5.6%	771	94.4%	-	-	4.5	3.4%	25	3.0%	2.9%	23	2.8%	2.8%	155	19.0%	3.3%	142	17.3%	3.7%	
NorthWest Healthcare	2,697	45%	2.9x	96	3.6%	2,601	96.4%	269	'20-23	n/a	3.6%	152	5.6%	3.9%	465	17.2%	4.2%	955	35.4%	3.5%	256	9.5%	4.2%	
Industrial																								
Granite	1,310	22%	10.4x	-	0.0%	1,310	100.0%	-	-	4.1	1.8%	-	-	0.0%	250	19.1%	2.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	399	30.4%	2.4%	
Dream Industrial	889	28%	3.9x	39	4.4%	850	95.6%	-	-	4.1	3.6%	34	3.8%	2.7%	135	15.2%	3.9%	63	7.0%	3.1%	114	12.9%	3.6%	
WPT Industrial	1,193	52%	2.9x	43	3.6%	1,149	96.4%	-	-	3.1	3.3%	32	2.7%	3.4%	70	5.8%	4.6%	24	2.0%	3.8%	82	6.9%	3.9%	
Summit Industrial Income	1,320	47%	2.8x	378	28.6%	942	71.4%	-	-	5.8	3.7%	15	1.1%	3.7%	72	5.5%	3.7%	103	7.8%	3.8%	108	8.1%	3.9%	
Small Cap Retail																								
Plaza Retail	595	53%	2.3x	131	22.0%	464	78.0%	45	'21, '23	5.7	4.2%	66	11.0%	4.6%	38	6.4%	4.9%	47	8.0%	4.3%	72	12.1%	5.1%	
Slate Retail	735	59%	2.4x	5	0.7%	730	99.3%	-	-	4.9	4.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	249	33.8%	0	
Automotive Properties	404	45%	3.5x	-	0.0%	404	100.0%	-	-	3.6	3.8%	24	5.9%	NA	18	4.5%	NA	270	67.0%	NA	0	0.0%	0.0%	

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 55: REIT Debt Profiles (As Of Q1/20)

REIT	Total	Debt/									Avg.	Avg.	Long-term Debt Maturities (Mortgages* & Unsecured Debentures)											
	Debt	GBV	Int.	Short Term*		Long Term*		Conv. Debt		Term	Int.	2020			2021			2022			2023			
	(\$ MM)	Assets	Cov.	(\$MM)	% Tot.	(\$ MM)	% Tot.	(\$ MM)	Due (Years)	(Years)	Rate	(\$ MM)	%	Rate	(\$ MM)	%	Rate	(\$ MM)	%	Rate	(\$ MM)	%	Rate	
Residential																								
CAPREIT	5,210	36%	3.9x	464	8.9%	4,746	91.1%	-	-	4.9	2.7%	402	7.7%	2.7%	566	10.9%	3.4%	535	10.3%	2.8%	577	11.1%	2.8%	
Boardwalk	2,774	46%	2.8x	397	14.3%	2,376	85.7%	-	-	4.3	2.7%	344	12.4%	2.4%	440	15.9%	2.4%	492	17.7%	2.7%	395	14.2%	2.9%	
Northview Apartment	2,312	52%	2.8x	433	18.7%	1,879	81.3%	-	-	4.7	3.0%	300	13.0%	3.2%	310	13.4%	3.5%	149	6.4%	2.8%	258	11.2%	3.1%	
Killam Properties	1,538	44%	3.3x	248	16.1%	1,290	83.9%	-	-	4.8	2.8%	228	14.8%	2.5%	205	13.3%	2.6%	138	9.0%	2.8%	235	15.3%	3.3%	
Minto Apartment	923	43%	1.9x	123	13.3%	801	86.7%	-	-	5.7	3.2%	123	13.3%	2.8%	37	4.0%	3.1%	101	11.0%	3.2%	105	11.4%	3.1%	
InterRent REIT	947	33%	3.2x	171	18.1%	776	81.9%	-	-	4.8	3.0%	171	18.1%	3.1%	107	11.3%	3.0%	71	7.5%	2.8%	81	8.6%	2.8%	
International Residential																								
Morguard NA Residential	1,382	44%	2.4x	30	2.2%	1,351	97.8%	83	'23	5.4	3.5%	27	1.9%	4.3%	101	7.3%	4.0%	103	7.4%	3.8%	269	19.5%	3.8%	
BSR REIT	559	49%	NA	16	2.9%	543	97.1%	-	-	7.2	3.7%	29	5.2%	n/a	35	6.3%	n/a	181	32.4%	n/a	48	8.6%	n/a	
Tricon	4,236	62%	NA	139	3.3%	4,097	96.7%	162	'22	NA	3.8%	550	13.0%	4.1%	548	12.9%	3.5%	1,001	23.6%	3.5%	160	3.8%	2.7%	
European Residential ²	621	45%	3.7x	1	0.2%	620	99.8%	-	-	5.1	1.6%	1	0.1%	n/a	1	0.2%	n/a	51	8.2%	1.4%	102	16.4%	1.5%	
Retirement/Nursing Homes																								
Chartwell Seniors Housing	2,597	54%	3.2x	276	10.6%	2,320	89.4%	-	-	6.9	3.6%	72	2.8%	3.6%	203	7.8%	4.1%	228	8.8%	3.7%	268	10.3%	3.8%	
Extendicare ¹	555	33%	3.1x	116	20.9%	438	79.1%	121	'25	6.6	4.6%	123	22.2%	n/a	53	9.6%	n/a	61	11.0%	n/a	51	9.2%	n/a	
Sienna Seniors Living ¹	1,033	47%	4.2x	311	30.1%	722	69.9%	-	-	4.4	3.6%	5	0.5%	2.5%	300	29.1%	3.5%	28	2.7%	4.2%	61	5.9%	4.1%	
Invesque Inc. ¹	1,006	58%	1.8x	41	4.1%	965	95.9%	91	"22, 23	4.8	4.6%	36	3.6%	n/a	16	1.6%	n/a	219	21.7%	n/a	342	34.0%	n/a	
Hotel																								
American Hotel Income	782	59%	1.6x	5	0.6%	777	99.4%	47	'22	5.3	4.4%	3	0.4%	n/a	5	0.6%	n/a	109	14.0%	n/a	78	10.0%	n/a	
Average		47%	3.2x	11.7%		88.3%				4.8	3.5%	7.0%		3.5%	11.3%		3.5%	12.7%		3.1%	12.3%		3.4%	
Average – Commercial		46%	3.3x	12.2%		87.8%				4.4	3.6%	6.1%		3.7%	12.4%		3.6%	12.6%		3.2%	12.1%		3.5%	
Average – Res./Seniors		46%	3.0x	11.7%		88.3%				5.4	3.3%	9.2%		3.1%	10.3%		3.3%	12.7%		3.1%	12.8%		3.1%	

*Short term includes current debt and debentures due; long term includes mortgage debt (excludes scheduled principal amortization) and unsecured debentures.

1 Extendicare Inc., Sienna Senior Living, and Invesque Inc. are taxable Canadian corporations.

2 EUR denominated

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 56: Asset Mix Of REITs (As Of Q1/20)

REIT	Ticker	Geographic Mix					Property Type Mix						
		Western Canada ³	Central Canada	Atlantic Canada	United States	Other	Office	Retail	Indust.	Res. ⁴	Healthcare	Hotel	Other
RioCan	REI.UN	22.3%	67.9%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	92.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SmartCentres REIT	SRU.UN	20.9%	73.7%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	99.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Capital REIT	FCR.UN	35.6%	64.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Crombie ²	CRR.UN	41.8%	23.6%	34.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	91.8%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Choice Properties	CHP.UN	36.0%	54.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	79.4%	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CT REIT	CRT.UN	27.9%	64.7%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	84.4%	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Brookfield Property Partners	BPY.UN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	40.8%	49.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.5%
H&R	HR.UN	26.0%	27.8%	10.0%	36.3%	0.0%	45.3%	31.9%	7.6%	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cominar	CUF.UN	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	39.1%	34.0%	26.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Artis	AX.UN	39.6%	10.8%	0.0%	49.6%	0.0%	46.8%	18.7%	34.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Morguard	MRT.UN	58.0%	42.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.0%	53.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Melcor	MR.UN	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.0%	49.5%	7.2%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Allied Properties REIT	AP.UN	10.6%	89.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.8%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.7%
Dream Office REIT	D.UN	17.2%	79.2%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Slate Office REIT	SOT.UN	5.8%	38.4%	35.4%	20.4%	0.0%	94.2%	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.9%
True North Commercial	TNT.UN	21.8%	66.6%	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NorthWest Healthcare REIT	NWH.UN	10.4%	16.8%	2.8%	0.0%	70.0%	49.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	51.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Granite REIT	GRT.UN	0.0%	18.0%	0.0%	43.0%	39.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dream Industrial REIT	DIR.UN	27.0%	44.0%	0.0%	23.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
WPT Industrial REIT	WIR.U	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Summit Industrial Income REIT	SMU.UN	28.7%	71.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Plaza Retail	PLZ.UN	0.6%	45.8%	53.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Slate Retail	SRT.U	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Automotive Properties	APR.UN	40.8%	59.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CAP REIT ⁷	CAR.UN	17.4%	69.8%	4.6%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Boardwalk REIT	BEI.UN	73.9%	26.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Northview REIT	NVU.UN	47.5%	41.2%	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	90.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Killam	KMP.UN	8.8%	22.0%	69.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	94.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minto Apartment	MI.UN	11.0%	89.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
InterRent	IIP.UN	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Morguard NA Residential	MRG.UN	2.0%	35.0%	0.0%	63.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
BSR REIT	HOM.U	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tricon	TCN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
European Residential	ERE.UN	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	91.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%
Chartwell Seniors Housing	CSH.UN	18.0%	82.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extendicare Inc. ¹	EXE	16.5%	83.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sienna Senior Living ¹	SIA	17.3%	82.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Invesque Inc. ¹	IVQ.U	1.0%	8.0%	0.0%	91.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	94.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Hotel Income	HOT.UN	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

Notes:

1 Sienna Senior Living, Extendicare Inc. and Invesque Inc. are taxable Canadian corporations but have operating, financial and payout ratio policies that are similar to REITs.

2 Crombie's retail amount includes mixed-use property which includes some office, hotel and warehouse space.

3 Includes Nunavut, Northwest Territories and the Yukon.

4 Includes MHC units.

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 57: Canadian REIT Estimate And Statistics

		26-June-20 Unit Price	Units O/S¹	Market Cap. (\$MM)	Current D/GBV²	FFO Per Unit³ (\$)					AFFO Per Unit⁴ (\$)				
	Rating		(MM)		Assets	2019A	2020E	19A-20E	2021E	20E-21E	2019A	2020E	19A-20E	2021E	20E-21E
Shopping Centre															
RioCan (REI.UN)	OP	\$15.14	317.7	\$4,810	43%	\$1.87	\$1.72	(8.0%)	\$1.76	2.3%	\$1.71	\$1.57	(8.2%)	\$1.60	1.9%
SmartCentres (SRU.UN)	OP	21.02	172.5	3,626	43%	2.28	2.24	(1.8%)	2.38	6.2%	2.16	2.12	(1.9%)	2.26	6.6%
First Capital (FCR.UN)	NT	13.74	218.2	2,998	47%	1.23	1.09	(11.4%)	1.16	6.4%	1.13	0.97	(14.2%)	1.05	8.2%
Crombie (CRR.UN)	OP	12.82	158.1	2,027	50%	1.16	1.11	(4.3%)	1.17	5.4%	0.98	1.08	10.2%	0.99	(8.3%)
Choice Properties (CHP.UN)	NT	12.85	700.4	9,000	44%	0.99	0.94	(5.1%)	1.00	6.4%	0.82	0.78	(4.9%)	0.83	6.4%
CT REIT (CRT.UN)	NT	13.27	228.5	3,032	43%	1.18	1.16	(1.7%)	1.19	2.6%	1.01	1.00	(1.0%)	1.02	2.0%
Shopping Centre Average/Total				25,143				(5.4%)		4.9%			(3.3%)		2.8%
Diversified (Office/Retail/Industrial)															
Brookfield Property Partners (BPY)	OP	\$9.65	937.9	12,399	55%	1.41	1.19	(15.6%)	1.45	21.8%	1.01	0.85	(15.8%)	1.05	23.5%
H&R (HR.UN)	OP	\$9.62	302.1	2,906	51%	1.75	1.65	(5.7%)	1.78	7.9%	1.31	1.38	5.3%	1.51	9.4%
Cominar (CUF.UN)	OP	\$7.96	182.4	1,452	51%	1.07	0.97	(9.3%)	1.06	9.3%	0.77	0.73	(5.2%)	0.79	8.2%
Artis (AX.UN)	NT	\$7.49	136.1	1,019	53%	1.41	1.29	(8.5%)	1.31	1.6%	1.10	0.94	(14.5%)	0.96	2.1%
Morguard (MRT.UN)	NT	\$5.13	60.7	311	48%	1.43	1.28	(10.5%)	1.28	0.0%	1.07	0.95	(11.2%)	0.94	(1.1%)
Melcor REIT (MR.UN)	NT	\$3.56	29.3	104	50%	0.91	0.82	(9.9%)	0.79	(3.7%)	0.65	0.59	(9.2%)	0.57	(3.4%)
PRO REIT ⁷	NR	\$4.31	41.0	177	58%	0.43	0.55	26.6%	0.54	(0.4%)	0.58	0.50	(12.5%)	0.50	(0.4%)
Diversified Commercial Sector Average/Total				18,369				(4.7%)		5.2%			(9.0%)		5.5%
Office															
Allied Properties (AP.UN)	OP	\$41.12	123.0	5,058	27%	2.26	2.37	4.9%	2.51	5.9%	1.95	2.00	2.6%	2.09	4.5%
Dream Office (D.UN)	NT	\$19.97	60.8	1,214	38%	1.70	1.57	(7.6%)	1.70	8.3%	1.24	1.16	(6.5%)	1.28	10.3%
Slate Office (SOT.UN)	NT	\$3.77	73.2	276	58%	0.76	0.69	(9.2%)	0.74	7.2%	0.63	0.57	(9.5%)	0.61	7.0%
True North Commercial (TNT.UN)	NT	\$5.50	89.1	490	58%	0.57	0.60	5.3%	0.62	3.3%	0.55	0.55	0.0%	0.56	1.8%
NorthWest Healthcare (NWH.UN)	NT	\$10.65	177.9	1,895	45%	0.84	0.81	(3.6%)	0.91	12.3%	0.81	0.76	(6.2%)	0.85	11.8%
Inovalis (INO.UN) ⁷	NR	\$7.74	34.3	266	47%	0.83	0.69	(16.4%)	0.74	6.9%	0.87	0.63	(28.0%)	0.68	9.3%
Office Sector Average				9,198				(4.4%)		7.3%			(7.9%)		7.5%
Industrial															
Granite (GRT.UN)	OP	\$67.69	58.0	3,926	22%	3.62	4.00	10.5%	4.22	5.5%	3.23	3.59	11.1%	3.79	5.6%
Dream Industrial (DIR.UN)	OP	\$10.43	171.2	1,786	28%	0.78	0.73	(6.4%)	0.80	9.6%	0.69	0.63	(8.7%)	0.70	11.1%
WPT Industrial (WIR.U)	OP	\$12.94	87.0	1,126	52%	0.85	0.92	8.2%	0.94	2.2%	0.65	0.75	15.4%	0.80	6.7%
Summit Industrial (SMU.UN)	NT	\$11.02	138.1	1,522	47%	0.58	0.65	12.1%	0.67	3.1%	0.51	0.57	11.8%	0.59	3.5%
Industrial Sector Average				8,359				6.1%		5.1%			7.4%		6.7%
Small-Cap Shopping Centre Average															
Plaza Retail (PLZ.UN)	NT	\$3.27	103.0	337	53%	0.40	0.33	(17.5%)	0.34	3.0%	0.35	0.29	(17.1%)	0.30	3.4%
Slate Retail (SRT.U)	NT	\$7.07	42.1	298	59%	1.20	1.06	(11.7%)	1.08	1.9%	0.95	0.81	(14.7%)	0.82	1.2%
Automotive Properties (APR.UN)	OP	\$9.16	47.6	436	45%	1.00	0.87	(12.7%)	0.94	8.0%	0.91	0.81	(10.8%)	0.87	7.4%
Small-Cap Shopping Centre Average				1,070				(14.0%)		4.3%			(14.2%)		4.0%
Total Commercial Average (Retail/Office/Industrial)				62,140				(4.2%)		5.5%			(5.5%)		5.3%

Notes:

1 Units o/s include exchangeable securities.

2 Excluding Convertible Debentures.

3 Net earnings plus depreciation, amortization and deferred taxes.

4 AFFO (Adjusted Funds from Operations) is cash flow minus a normalized provision for tenant inducements and maintenance-type capital expenditures.

5 REIT cash flows in the hotel sector are not contractual in nature and have above-average potential for fluctuation during the course of an economic cycle.

6 SIA, IVQ and EXE are taxable Canadian corporations, but have operating, financial and payout policies that are similar to REITs.

7 Company is not covered by CIBC. Estimates used are consensus.

8 FFO and AFFO estimates are denominated in EUR

OP = Outperformer; NT = Neutral; UN = Underperformer; R = Restricted; NR = Not Rated.

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 58: Canadian REIT Estimate And Statistics (Continued)

		26-Jun-20 Unit	Units O/S ¹	Market Cap.	Current D/GBV ²	FFO Per Unit ³ (\$)					AFFO Per Unit ⁴ (\$)				
	Rating	Price	(MM)	(\$MM)	Assets	2019A	2020E	19A- 20E	2021E	20E-21E	2019A	2020E	19A-20E	2021E	20E-21E
Residential															
CAP REIT (CAR.UN)	NT	\$48.18	171.0	8,239	36%	2.13	2.22	4.2%	2.32	4.5%	1.81	1.89	4.4%	2.00	5.8%
Boardwalk (BEI.UN)	NT	\$28.28	51.0	1,442	46%	2.57	2.50	(2.7%)	2.79	11.6%	2.05	1.96	(4.4%)	2.24	14.3%
Northview (NVU.UN)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Killam Apartment (KMP.UN)	OP	\$17.29	98.2	1,698	44%	0.98	0.96	(2.0%)	1.03	7.3%	0.80	0.79	(1.3%)	0.86	8.9%
InterRent REIT (IIP.UN)	NT	\$14.24	140.9	2,007	33%	0.48	0.51	6.3%	0.57	11.8%	0.43	0.46	7.0%	0.51	10.9%
Minto Apartment (MI.UN)	OP	\$20.00	59.0	1,180	43%	0.84	0.88	4.8%	0.92	4.5%	0.72	0.77	6.9%	0.81	5.2%
Residential Sector Average				16,893				2.7%		7.7%			3.3%		8.8%
International Residential															
European Residential (ERE.UN) ⁸	OP	\$4.22	230.6	973	45%	0.14	0.14	0.0%	0.15	7.1%	0.12	0.12	0.0%	0.13	8.3%
Morguard NA Res. (MRG.UN)	OP	\$14.54	56.2	817	44%	1.19	1.11	(6.7%)	1.17	5.4%	1.04	0.92	(11.5%)	1.00	8.7%
Tricon (TCN)	OP	\$8.82	192.5	1,698	62%	0.42	0.40	(4.8%)	0.45	12.5%	NA	0.29	NA	0.32	10.3%
BSR REIT (HOM.U)	OP	\$10.61	44.5	472	49%	0.71	0.61	(14.1%)	0.70	14.8%	0.64	0.57	(10.9%)	0.61	7.0%
International Residential Sector Average				3,960				(6.4%)		10.0%			(7.5%)		8.6%
Retirement/Nursing Homes															
Chartwell (CSH.UN)	OP	\$9.14	218.1	1,993	54%	0.92	0.81	(12.0%)	0.88	8.6%	0.86	0.78	(9.3%)	0.82	5.1%
Extencicare (EXE) ⁶	NT	\$5.63	90.5	510	33%	0.52	0.44	(15.4%)	0.54	22.7%	0.57	0.51	(10.5%)	0.60	17.6%
Sienna Senior Living (SIA) ⁶	OP	\$9.37	67.0	628	47%	1.38	1.31	(5.1%)	1.33	1.5%	1.40	1.34	(4.3%)	1.36	1.5%
Invesque Inc. (IVQ.U) ⁶	NT	\$2.61	55.2	144	58%	0.73	0.71	(2.7%)	0.72	1.4%	0.65	0.60	(7.7%)	0.63	5.0%
Retirement/Nursing Homes Sector Average				3,275				(8.8%)		8.6%			(8.0%)		7.3%
Hotel⁵															
American Hotel (HOT.U)	NT	\$1.91	78.1	149	59%	0.70	0.03	NM	0.56	NM	0.63	(0.05)	NM	0.43	NM
Hotel Sector Average				149				NM		NM			NM		NM
By Property Type															
Shopping Centre Total/Averages				25,143				(5.4%)		4.9%			(3.3%)		2.8%
Diversified Total/Averages				18,369				(4.7%)		5.2%			(9.0%)		5.5%
Office Sector Total/Averages				9,198				(4.4%)		7.3%			(7.9%)		7.5%
Industrial Total/Averages				8,359				6.1%		5.1%			7.4%		6.7%
Average/Total – All REITs				85,444				(3.8%)		6.6%			(4.7%)		6.3%
Average/Total – All REITs, excl. Hotels				85,294				(3.9%)		6.6%			(4.7%)		6.3%
Average – Commercial And Residential REITs				82,020				(3.4%)		6.3%			(4.3%)		6.2%

Notes:

1 Units o/s include exchangeable securities.

2 Excluding Convertible Debentures.

3 Net earnings plus depreciation, amortization and deferred taxes.

4 AFFO (Adjusted Funds from Operations) is cash flow minus a normalized provision for tenant inducements and maintenance-type capital expenditures.

5 REIT cash flows in the hotel sector are not contractual in nature and have above-average potential for fluctuation during the course of an economic cycle.

6 SIA, IVQ and EXE are taxable Canadian corporations, but have operating, financial and payout policies that are similar to REITs.

7 Company is not covered by CIBC. Estimates used are consensus.

8 FFO and AFFO estimates are denominated in EUR

OP = Outperformer; NT = Neutral; UN = Underperformer; R = Restricted; NR = Not Rated.

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Exhibit 59: Canadian REIT Estimate And Statistics (Continued)

	Distributions (\$)			P/FFO ¹			P/AFFO ²			Est. NAV Per Unit (\$)	Est. NAV Cap Rate (%)	Unit Price (Discount)/ Premium To Est. NAV (%)	Sensitivity Of NAV To +/-50 bps In Cap Rate (%)
	Current	Current	% Of 2020E	2019A	2020E	2021E	2019A	2020E	2021E				
	Annualized	Yield	AFFO										
Shopping Centre													
RioCan	\$1.44	9.6%	92%	8.1	8.8	8.6	8.8	9.6	9.4	24.50	5.50%	(38.5%)	16.3%
SmartCentres	1.85	9.0%	87%	9.0	9.2	8.7	9.5	9.7	9.1	30.00	5.75%	(31.3%)	15.0%
First Capital	0.86	6.2%	89%	11.2	12.7	11.9	12.2	14.2	13.1	20.00	5.50%	(31.1%)	17.4%
Crombie	0.89	7.0%	82%	11.0	11.5	10.9	13.0	11.8	12.9	16.00	6.25%	(20.3%)	15.3%
Choice Properties	0.74	6.0%	95%	12.5	13.2	12.4	15.1	15.9	14.9	14.25	5.75%	(13.0%)	14.4%
CT REIT	0.79	5.8%	79%	11.5	11.7	11.4	13.4	13.5	13.3	15.50	6.00%	(12.6%)	14.6%
<i>Shopping Centre Average</i>		7.3%	87%	10.5	11.2	10.6	12.0	12.5	12.1		5.79%	(24.5%)	15.5%
Diversified (Office/Retail/Industrial)													
Brookfield Property Partners ⁶	1.33	13.8%	156%	6.8	8.1	6.7	9.6	11.4	9.2	26.00	5.75%	(62.9%)	23.3%
H&R	0.69	7.2%	50%	5.5	5.8	5.4	7.3	7.0	6.4	20.00	6.75%	(51.9%)	13.8%
Cominar	0.72	9.0%	99%	7.4	8.2	7.5	10.3	10.9	10.1	15.00	6.00%	(46.9%)	18.6%
Artis	0.54	7.2%	57%	5.3	5.8	5.7	6.8	8.0	7.8	12.00	6.75%	(37.6%)	20.9%
Morguard REIT	0.48	9.4%	51%	3.6	4.0	4.0	4.8	5.4	5.5	11.00	7.00%	(53.4%)	18.3%
Melcor	0.36	10.1%	61%	3.9	4.3	4.5	5.5	6.0	6.2	6.25	6.75%	(43.0%)	26.8%
PRO REIT ⁵	0.45	10.4%	89%	10.0	7.9	7.9	7.5	8.6	8.6	6.00	6.90%	N/A	N/A
<i>Diversified Commercial Sector Average</i>		9.6%	80%	6.1	6.3	6.0	7.4	8.2	7.7		6.56%	(49.3%)	20.3%
Office													
Allied Properties	1.65	4.0%	83%	18.2	17.4	16.4	21.1	20.6	19.7	48.00	5.10%	(14.3%)	11.5%
Dream Office	1.00	5.0%	86%	11.7	12.7	11.7	16.1	17.2	15.6	28.75	5.20%	(30.5%)	13.5%
Slate Office	0.40	10.6%	70%	5.0	5.5	5.1	6.0	6.6	6.2	6.75	6.70%	(44.1%)	22.2%
True North Commercial	0.59	10.7%	107%	9.6	9.2	8.9	10.0	10.0	9.8	6.25	6.50%	(12.0%)	20.0%
NorthWest Healthcare	0.80	7.5%	105%	12.7	13.1	11.7	13.1	14.0	12.5	11.25	6.10%	(5.3%)	15.6%
Inovalis ⁵	0.83	10.7%	132%	9.3	11.1	10.4	8.9	12.4	11.3	10.50	5.75%	(26.3%)	N/A
<i>Office Sector Average</i>		8.1%	97%	11.1	11.5	10.7	12.5	13.5	12.5		5.89%	(22.1%)	16.5%
Industrial													
Granite REIT	2.90	4.3%	81%	18.7	16.9	16.0	21.0	18.9	17.9	68.00	6.10%	(0.5%)	9.6%
Dream Industrial	0.70	6.7%	111%	13.4	14.3	13.0	15.1	16.6	14.9	11.50	5.70%	(9.3%)	13.0%
WPT Industrial	0.76	5.9%	101%	15.2	14.1	13.8	19.9	17.3	16.2	13.75	5.80%	(5.9%)	18.2%
Summit Industrial	0.54	4.9%	95%	19.0	17.0	16.4	21.6	19.3	18.7	11.25	4.80%	(2.0%)	18.9%
<i>Industrial Sector Average</i>		5.4%	97%	16.6	15.6	14.8	19.4	18.0	16.9		5.60%	(4.4%)	14.9%
<i>Small-Cap Shopping Centre Average</i>													
Plaza Retail	0.28	8.6%	97%	8.2	9.9	9.6	9.3	11.3	10.9	4.50	7.25%	(27.3%)	13.3%
Slate Retail	0.86	12.2%	107%	5.9	6.7	6.5	7.4	8.7	8.6	10.00	7.50%	(29.3%)	18.6%
Automotive Properties	0.80	8.8%	99%	9.2	10.5	9.7	10.1	11.3	10.5	10.75	6.75%	(14.8%)	13.5%
<i>Small-Cap Shopping Centre Average</i>		9.9%	101%	7.8	9.0	8.6	9.0	10.4	10.0		7.17%	(23.8%)	15.1%
Total Commercial Average (Retail/Office/Industrial)		8.1%	91%	10.1	10.4	9.8	11.7	12.2	11.5		6.2%	(26.6%)	16.8%

Notes:

1 Net earnings plus depreciation, amortization and deferred taxes.

2 AFFO (Adjusted Funds from Operations) is cash flow minus a normalized provision for tenant inducements and maintenance-type capital expenditures.

3 REIT cash flows in the hotel sector are not contractual in nature and have above-average potential for fluctuation during the course of an economic cycle.

4 SIA, IVQ and EXE are taxable Canadian corporations, but have operating, financial and payout policies that are similar to REITs.

5 Company is not covered by CIBC. Estimates used are consensus.

6 AFFO payout ratio does not include realized LP gains - payout ratio would be significantly lower after including realized gains

7 Distribution and NAV denominated in EUR

R – Restricted.

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Quantitative Strategy Disclaimer

The quantitative opinions expressed in this report are based upon quantitative, statistical, and mathematical analysis of a security, portfolio of securities, financial instrument, commodity or currency in an effort to forecast future performance. Quantitative analysis is one of many research methodologies that may be used in making an informed investment decision. The opinions expressed therein are not intended to serve as fundamental price targets or recommendations and should not be relied upon as such. Any issuers or securities discussed are not continuously followed. Investors should not expect continuing analysis or additional reports relating to any securities discussed in this report. The quantitative analyst may not file updates in the event that the facts, trends or opinions expressed in this report change. We make no guarantees as to the accuracy, thoroughness or quality of the information presented, and are not responsible for errors or omissions. CIBC World Markets Inc. may engage in trading strategies or hold positions in the security(ies), financial instrument, commodity or currency discussed in this report and may abandon such trading strategies or unwind such positions at any time without notice. The quantitative comments and views expressed in this report are those of the quantitative analyst. CIBC World Markets Inc. may also publish research reports on the issuers discussed herein that may express different or contradictory opinions and recommendations based on a fundamental analysis of their businesses. We recommend that clients contact their CIBC World Markets Inc. representative to request copies of relevant equity research reports published by fundamental analysts for further information.

Exhibit 60: Canadian REIT Estimate And Statistics (Continued)

	Distributions (\$)			P/FFO ¹			P/AFFO ²			Est. NAV Per Unit (\$)	Est. NAV Cap Rate (%)	Unit Price (Discount)/ Premium To Est. NAV (%)	Sensitivity Of NAV To +/-50 bps In Cap Rate (%)
	Current Annualized	Current Yield	% Of 2020E AFFO	2019A	2020E	2021E	2019A	2020E	2021E				
Residential													
CAP REIT	\$1.38	2.9%	73%	22.6	21.7	20.8	26.6	25.5	24.1	50.00	4.25%	(3.6%)	19.1%
Boardwalk	1.00	3.5%	51%	11.0	11.3	10.1	13.8	14.4	12.6	44.00	5.25%	(35.7%)	21.7%
Northview	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Killam Apartment	0.68	3.9%	86%	17.6	18.0	16.8	21.6	21.9	20.1	20.00	4.75%	(13.6%)	18.5%
InterRent REIT	0.31	2.2%	67%	29.7	27.9	25.0	33.1	31.0	27.9	14.00	4.25%	1.7%	18.8%
Minto Apartment	0.44	2.2%	57%	23.8	22.7	21.7	27.8	26.0	24.7	22.00	4.00%	(9.1%)	20.5%
Residential Sector Average		3.2%	71%	20.3	19.6	18.2	24.0	23.0	21.2		4.71%	(7.5%)	19.5%
International Residential													
European Residential (ERE.UN) ⁷	0.11	3.8%	88%	19.6	19.6	18.3	22.8	22.8	21.1	€ 3.00	4.00%	(8.7%)	24.4%
Morguard NA Res. (MRG.UN)	0.70	4.8%	76%	12.2	13.1	12.4	14.0	15.8	14.5	23.00	5.50%	(36.8%)	17.6%
Tricon (TCN) ⁸	0.28	3.2%	70%	15.3	16.1	14.3	NA	22.2	20.1	10.00	5.50%	(35.6%)	25.0%
BSR REIT (HOM.U)	0.50	4.7%	88%	14.9	17.4	15.2	16.6	18.6	17.4	12.00	5.75%	(11.6%)	16.7%
International Residential Sector		4.1%	80%	15.5	16.5	15.0	17.8	19.9	18.3		5.19%	(23.2%)	20.9%
Retirement/Nursing Homes													
Chartwell	0.61	6.7%	78%	9.9	11.3	10.4	10.6	11.7	11.1	12.50	6.30%	(26.9%)	5.8%
Extendicare ⁴	0.48	8.5%	94%	10.8	12.8	10.4	9.9	11.0	9.4	9.00	8.60%	(37.4%)	6.6%
Sienna Senior Living ⁴	0.94	10.0%	70%	6.8	7.2	7.0	6.7	7.0	6.9	16.50	7.20%	(43.2%)	6.1%
Invesque Inc. ⁴	0.00	0.0%	0%	3.6	3.7	3.6	4.0	4.4	4.1	5.50	7.70%	(52.5%)	31.3%
Retirement/Nursing Homes Sector Average		6.3%	61%	7.8	8.7	7.9	7.8	8.5	7.9		7.45%	(40.0%)	12.4%
Hotel⁸													
American Hotel	0.00	0.0%	0%	2.7	NM	3.4	3.0	NM	4.4	3.75	8.00%	(49.1%)	22.6%
Hotel Sector Average		0.0%	0%	2.7	NM	3.4	3.0	NM	4.4		8.00%	(49.1%)	22.6%
By Property Type													
Shopping Centre Total/Averages		7.3%	87%	10.5	11.2	10.6	12.0	12.5	12.1		5.79%	(24.5%)	15.5%
Diversified Total/Averages		9.6%	80%	6.1	6.3	6.0	7.4	8.2	7.7		6.56%	(49.3%)	20.3%
Office Sector Total/Averages		8.1%	97%	11.1	11.5	10.7	12.5	13.5	12.5		5.89%	(22.1%)	16.5%
Industrial Total/Averages		5.4%	97%	16.6	15.6	14.8	19.4	18.0	16.9		5.60%	(4.4%)	14.9%
Average/Total – All REITs													
		6.7%	82%	11.5	12.0	11.0	13.1	14.0	12.9		6.07%	(25.7%)	17.1%
Avg/Total – All REITs, Ex-Hotels		6.9%	84%	11.7	12.0	11.2	13.4	14.0	13.1		6.02%	(25.1%)	17.0%
Average – Commercial And Residential REITs		6.9%	86%	12.2	12.4	11.6	14.1	14.6	13.7		5.85%	(23.3%)	17.5%

Notes:

1 Net earnings plus depreciation, amortization and deferred taxes.

2 AFFO (Adjusted Funds from Operations) is cash flow minus a normalized provision for tenant inducements and maintenance-type capital expenditures.

3 REIT cash flows in the hotel sector are not contractual in nature and have above-average potential for fluctuation during the course of an economic cycle.

4 SIA, IVQ and EXE are taxable Canadian corporations, but have operating, financial and payout policies that are similar to REITs.

5 Company is not covered by CIBC. Estimates used are consensus.

6 AFFO payout ratio does not include realized LP gains - payout ratio would be significantly lower after including realized gains

7 Distribution and NAV denominated in EUR

8 Payout Ratio and AFFO multiples derived using consensus AFFO estimates

R – Restricted.

Source: Company reports and CIBC World Markets Inc.

Important Disclosures

Analyst Certification: Each CIBC World Markets Inc. research analyst named on the front page of this research report, or at the beginning of any subsection hereof, hereby certifies that (i) the recommendations and opinions expressed herein accurately reflect such research analyst's personal views about the company and securities that are the subject of this report and all other companies and securities mentioned in this report that are covered by such research analyst and (ii) no part of the research analyst's compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by such research analyst in this report.

Potential Conflicts of Interest: Equity research analysts employed by CIBC World Markets Inc. are compensated from revenues generated by various CIBC World Markets Inc. businesses, including the CIBC World Markets Investment Banking Department. Research analysts do not receive compensation based upon revenues from specific investment banking transactions. CIBC World Markets Inc. generally prohibits any research analyst and any member of his or her household from executing trades in the securities of a company that such research analyst covers. Additionally, CIBC World Markets Inc. generally prohibits any research analyst from serving as an officer, director or advisory board member of a company that such analyst covers.

In addition to 1% ownership positions in covered companies that are required to be specifically disclosed in this report, CIBC World Markets Inc. may have a long position of less than 1% or a short position or deal as principal in the securities discussed herein, related securities or in options, futures or other derivative instruments based thereon.

Recipients of this report are advised that any or all of the foregoing arrangements, as well as more specific disclosures set forth below, may at times give rise to potential conflicts of interest.

CIBC World Markets Inc. does and seeks to do business with companies covered in its research reports. As a result, investors should be aware that CIBC World Markets Inc. may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of this report. Investors should consider this report as only a single factor in making their investment decision.

Analysts employed outside the U.S. are not registered as research analysts with FINRA. These analysts may not be associated persons of CIBC World Markets Corp. and therefore may not be subject to FINRA Rule 2241 restrictions on communications with a subject company, public appearances and trading securities held by a research analyst account.

CIBC World Markets Inc. Stock Rating System

Rating	Abbreviation	Description
Stock Ratings		
Outperformer	OP	Stock is expected to outperform similar stocks in the coverage universe during the next 12-18 months.
Neutral	NT	Stock is expected to perform in line with similar stocks in the coverage universe during the next 12-18 months.
Underperformer	UN	Stock is expected to underperform similar stocks in the coverage universe during the next 12-18 months.
Not Rated	NR	CIBC World Markets does not maintain an investment recommendation on the stock.
Restricted	R	CIBC World Markets is restricted (due to potential conflict of interest) from rating the stock.
Sector Ratings (note: Broader market averages refer to S&P 500 in the U.S. and S&P/TSX Composite in Canada.)		
Overweight	O	Sector is expected to outperform the broader market averages.
Marketweight	M	Sector is expected to equal the performance of the broader market averages.
Underweight	U	Sector is expected to underperform the broader market averages.
None	NA	Sector rating is not applicable.

"Speculative" indicates that an investment in this security involves a high amount of risk due to volatility and / or liquidity issues.

CIBC World Markets Inc. Price Chart

For price and performance charts, please visit CIBC on the web at <https://researchcentral.cibcwm.com/rcportal/pricecharts> or write to CIBC World Markets Inc., 161 Bay Street, 4th Floor, Toronto, ON M5H 2S8, Attn: Research Disclosure Chart Request.

Important Disclosure Footnotes

For important disclosure footnotes for companies mentioned in this report that are covered by CIBC World Markets Inc., click here: [CIBC Disclaimers & Disclosures](#)

Companies mentioned in the report but not listed are not covered by fundamental research at CIBC.

Ratings Distribution*: CIBC World Markets Inc. Coverage Universe

(as of 02 Jul 2020)	Count	Percent	Inv. Banking Relationships	Count	Percent
Outperformer	148	47.3%	Outperformer	148	100.0%
Neutral	143	45.7%	Neutral	142	99.3%
Underperformer	15	4.8%	Underperformer	15	100.0%
Restricted	7	2.2%	Restricted	7	100.0%

Important disclosures required by applicable rules can be obtained by visiting CIBC World Markets on the web at <https://researchcentral.cibcwm.com/rcportal/legaldisclaimer>. Important disclosures for each issuer can be found on Research Central Website by navigating through the following path: Company & Industry Research < Companies Listing < Ticker < Company page. Access to the system for our dissemination policy can be found at the bottom of each page on the Research Central website. These important disclosures can also be obtained by writing to CIBC World Markets Inc., 161 Bay Street, 4th Floor, Toronto, ON M5H 2S8, Attention: Research Disclosures Request.

Legal Disclaimer

This report is issued and approved for distribution by (a) in Canada, CIBC World Markets Inc., a member of the Investment Industry Regulatory Organization of Canada ("IIROC"), the Toronto Stock Exchange, the TSX Venture Exchange and a Member of the Canadian Investor Protection Fund, (b) in the United Kingdom, CIBC World Markets plc, is Authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority, (c) in Australia to wholesale clients only, CIBC Australia Ltd, a company regulated by the ASIC with AFSL license number 240603 and ACN 000 067 256, and (d) in Japan, CIBC World Markets (Japan) Inc., a registered Type 1 Financial product provider with the registration number Director General of Kanto Finance Bureau #218 (collectively, "CIBC World Markets") and (e) in the United States either by (i) CIBC World Markets Inc. for distribution only to U.S. Major Institutional Investors ("MII") (as such term is defined in SEC Rule 15a-6) or (ii) CIBC World Markets Corp., a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"). U.S. MIIs receiving this report from CIBC World Markets Inc. (the Canadian broker-dealer) are required to effect transactions (other than negotiating their terms) in securities discussed in the report through CIBC World Markets Corp. (the U.S. broker-dealer). CIBC World Markets Corp. accepts responsibility for the content of this research report.

This report is provided, for informational purposes only, to institutional investor and retail clients of CIBC World Markets in Canada, and does not constitute an offer or solicitation to buy or sell any securities discussed herein in any jurisdiction where such offer or solicitation would be prohibited. This document and any of the products and information contained herein are not intended for the use of Retail investors in the United Kingdom. Such investors will not be able to enter into agreements or purchase products mentioned herein from CIBC World Markets plc. The comments and views expressed in this document are meant for the general interests of wholesale clients of CIBC Australia Ltd.

This report has been prepared by the CIBC group and is issued in Hong Kong by Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, Hong Kong Branch, a registered institution under the Securities and Futures Ordinance, Cap 571 (the "SFO"). This report is intended for "professional investors" only (within the meaning of the SFO) and has been prepared for general circulation and does not take into account the objectives, financial situation or needs of any recipient. Any recipient in Hong Kong who has any questions or requires further information on any matter arising from or relating to this report should contact Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, Hong Kong Branch at Suite 3602, Cheung Kong Centre, 2 Queen's Road Central, Hong Kong (telephone number: +852 2841 6111). Orders for Hong Kong listed securities will be executed by Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, Hong Kong Branch. Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, Hong Kong Branch has entered into an arrangement with its broker-dealer affiliates worldwide to execute orders for securities listed outside of Hong Kong for Hong Kong clients.

This report is intended for distribution in Singapore solely to "institutional investors" (within the meanings of the Financial Advisers Act (Chapter 110 of Singapore)).

The securities mentioned in this report may not be suitable for all types of investors. This report does not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or specific needs of any particular client of CIBC World Markets. Recipients should consider this report as only a single factor in making an investment decision and should not rely solely on investment recommendations contained herein, if any, as a substitution for the exercise of independent judgment of the merits and risks of investments. The analyst writing the report is not a person or company with actual, implied or apparent authority to act on behalf of any issuer mentioned in the report. Before making an investment decision with respect to any security recommended in this report, the recipient should consider whether such recommendation is appropriate given the recipient's particular investment needs, objectives and financial circumstances. CIBC World Markets suggests that, prior to acting on any of the recommendations herein, Canadian retail clients of CIBC World Markets contact one of our client advisers in your jurisdiction to discuss your particular circumstances. Non-client recipients of this report who are not institutional investor clients of CIBC World Markets should consult with an independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision based on this report or for any necessary explanation of its contents. CIBC World Markets will not treat non-client recipients as its clients solely by virtue of their receiving this report.

Legal Disclaimer (Continued)

Past performance is not a guarantee of future results, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance of any security mentioned in this report. The price of the securities mentioned in this report and the income they produce may fluctuate and / or be adversely affected by exchange rates, and investors may realize losses on investments in such securities, including the loss of investment principal. CIBC World Markets accepts no liability for any loss arising from the use of information contained in this report, except to the extent that liability may arise under specific statutes or regulations applicable to CIBC World Markets.

Information, opinions and statistical data contained in this report were obtained or derived from sources believed to be reliable, but CIBC World Markets does not represent that any such information, opinion or statistical data is accurate or complete (with the exception of information contained in the Important Disclosures section of this report provided by CIBC World Markets or individual research analysts), and they should not be relied upon as such. All estimates, opinions and recommendations expressed herein constitute judgments as of the date of this report and are subject to change without notice.

Nothing in this report constitutes legal, accounting or tax advice. Since the levels and bases of taxation can change, any reference in this report to the impact of taxation should not be construed as offering tax advice on the tax consequences of investments. As with any investment having potential tax implications, clients should consult with their own independent tax adviser.

This report may provide addresses of, or contain hyperlinks to, Internet web sites. CIBC World Markets has not reviewed the linked Internet web site of any third party and takes no responsibility for the contents thereof. Each such address or hyperlink is provided solely for the recipient's convenience and information, and the content of linked third party web sites is not in any way incorporated into this document. Recipients who choose to access such third-party web sites or follow such hyperlinks do so at their own risk.

Although each company issuing this report is a wholly owned subsidiary of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce ("CIBC"), each is solely responsible for its contractual obligations and commitments, and any securities products offered or recommended to or purchased or sold in any client accounts (i) will not be insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), the Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation or other similar deposit insurance, (ii) will not be deposits or other obligations of CIBC, (iii) will not be endorsed or guaranteed by CIBC, and (iv) will be subject to investment risks, including possible loss of the principal invested. The CIBC trademark is used under license.

© 2020 CIBC World Markets Inc. and CIBC World Markets Corp. All rights reserved. Unauthorized use, distribution, duplication or disclosure without the prior written permission of CIBC World Markets is prohibited by law and may result in prosecution.